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Summary of Key Observations

A research study was undertaken in Andhra Pradesh to understand the nature and extent of diversion of agricultural land to other purposes and the impact of this shift on agriculture and food production. A related aim of the study was to explore policy recommendations and strategies using the study outcomes as a basis. For a more detailed study, five sample districts were selected namely Ranga Reddy and Medak district in Telangana region, Srikakulam and Vishakapatnam in Coastal Andhra and Kurnool district in the Rayalaseema region. What follows is a summary of key observations from the study.

1. At the State Level, analysis of data on land put to non-agricultural purposes for the period 1990-91 to 2007-08 indicates a 14.3% increase from 23.06 lakh hectares to 26.37 lakh hectares during the 18-year period. In other words, this means that an extent of 3.31 lakh hectares or 8.27 lakh acres has been diverted to non-agricultural purposes during this 18-year period. However, even these figures may not be entirely accurate and underestimates to a large extent since many land transactions, especially for real estate purposes are not officially recorded and therefore do not form part of the above estimates. There is also paucity of data related to diversion of government lands to non-agricultural purposes, which are cultivated by a large number of the poor in the State.

2. A conventional estimate from this study as well as a review of other similar field studies in the State indicate that over 20-25 lakh acres of farm land may have gone out of cultivation during the last 18 years. There are also indications that land loss has been more rapid in the last 8-10 year period, with diversion of vast extents of land being diverted to the establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), Ports, Industrial Corridors, Airport projects, Infrastructure and irrigation projects under Jalayagnam programme etc.

3. Intra-State analysis for various regions again reveals interesting findings. In the coastal Andhra region, data indicates that land put to non-agricultural purposes has been highest in Srikakulam district with a 33% increase during the above period, followed by East Godavari (25%) and Vishakapatnam (20%) in the North Coastal Andhra region. In the South Coastal Andhra region, Krishna district shows the highest extent of land put to non-agricultural purposes with a 27% increase over the 18-year period followed by Nellore district (25%).

4. In the Rayalaseema region, Kurnool district shows the highest area put to non-agricultural purposes with a 37% increase during the period 1990-91 to 2007-08. In the Telangana region, Ranga Reddy district has the highest area put to non-agricultural purposes (31%), followed by Karimnagar and Nalgonda (both 27%) Nizamabad (18%) and Medak (15%) district for the above period.

5. Analysis of data related to land put to non-agricultural purposes (LNA) and Current Fallows (CF) for the period 1990-91 and 2007-08 in the State reveals
that the extent of area under both categories has been steadily increasing over time. However, land under permanent pastures has been coming down from 8.42 lakh hectares in 1990-91 to 5.71 lakh hectares in 2007-08 (-32%). Net Sown Area (NSA) also indicates a negative or declining growth rate of -2.4% for the period while there has been only a marginal increase of 2.8% in the Total Cropped Area. The area sowed more than once reveals a growth rate of 29% for the 18-year period at the State level indicating expansion in irrigation infrastructure, private investments in bore wells etc during the period. Again, only some parts of the State account for this growth.

6. Analysis of official data on LNA, CF and land area under principal crops such as Paddy, Jowar, Maize and Red Gram in the five study districts again reveals interesting findings. Analysis of data related to Ranga Reddy district reveals that there has been a significant increase in LNA from 77,942 hectares in 1990-01 to 1.02 lakh hectares in 2007-08 or 13.5% of the total geographical area of the district, while land under CF has almost doubled from 1.16 lakh hectares to 2.18 lakh hectares accounting for 29.10% of total area during this period. Land under Paddy and Jowar cultivation has witnessed a steep fall during this period registering a decline of close to 50% in paddy and over 70% in Jowar area. Area under cultivation of Redgram and Maize has remained more or less steady during the period.

7. Ranga Reddy district has perhaps witnessed the diversion of farm land at a more rapid pace than any other district in the past 10 years. Development of infrastructure projects like International Airport, outer ring road, development of IT parks, SEZs and real estate are the major factors accounting for diversion of farm land. Land diversion has been highest in East Division comprising of Maheswaram, Kandukur, Shamashabad, Saroornagar etc and Sherlingampally in Chevella, which are closer to Hyderabad City as compared to the Vikarabad division. While there are no accurate estimates available, discussions with various officials indicate that close to 2 lakh acres of farm land may have gone out in the last 8 years. Diversion of farm land has meant a steep fall in cultivation of vegetables, Paddy, Jowar etc in the district. Large extents of land diverted to real estate ventures, which are yet to take off have not been converted under the Agricultural Lands Conversion to non-agricultural purposes Act 2006. This also perhaps accounts for the high percentage of fallow lands in the district, which has doubled during the years 1990-2008.

8. In Medak district, land put to non-agricultural purposes shows a marginal decline from 60,000 hectares to 55,947 hectares during the 18-year period. Land under current fallows was the highest during the year 1990-91 (1.83 lakhs), came down marginally and then increased during the subsequent period to stand at 1.92 lakh hectares (19.8% of total area) during 2007-08. Paddy cultivation registered a fall between the years 1990 to 1995 and then peaked during the year 1999-00 and then again fell during the period 2007-08. Cultivation of Jowar registered a steep fall from 1990-91 to 2007-08 (-60%). Red Gram cultivation has almost remained
steady in the district, indicating a marginal increase during the period 2007-08. Maize cultivation has picked up steadily over the last 18 year period.

9. Field studies in Medak district shows that the two major purposes for which agricultural land is being diverted are real estate and industries. Real estate is high in 11 mandals of this district which fall in the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation limits (GHMC) like Ramachandrapuram, Patancheru, Jinnaram, Mulug, Wargal, Sangareddy, Toopran, Narsapur, Kondapur etc. About 40% of the farm land in each of these mandals has been converted to real estate. According to the estimates by the Vigilance Department which carried out a random study in 5-6 mandals, over Rs. 75 crores has been foregone as revenue, with many of the real estate developers failing to pay conversion fee under Agricultural Land (Conversion to Non-Agricultural Purposes ) Act 2006 or NALA Act. Most of the land being converted is patta lands and some pockets of these lands are assignment lands. There is no record since most of the agreements are informal and done under general purpose agreements. According to the Revenue Departments’ estimates, around 2 lakh acres of farm land in the district has been left idle or fallow. Jowar, Paddy, Maize and Sugar Cane are some of the major crops in the district but except Maize, the area under other crops has been coming down over time. Land conversion is also happening through cropping changes like shift to horticulture crops like Mango, Guava etc in the district.

10. Data from Kurnool district shows that land under CF and LNA have increased during the 18-year period. LNA increased from 1 lakh hectares to 1.38 lakh hectares while land under CF increased from 1.05 lakh hectares to 1.29 lakh hectares during the period. Area under paddy remained more or less steady during the 10 years 1990-2000 but registered a fall during the years 2004-05 and then increased again to 93,000 hectares during the years 2007-08. Area under Bengal Gram increased exponentially from 20,000 hectares in 1990 to 2.17 lakh hectares by 2007-08. Area under Red Gram increased marginally while area under Jowar cultivation fell drastically from 1.62 lakh hectares to 73,236 hectares during the above period.

11. Discussions with various government officials, activists and farmers in Kurnool district reveal that the three most important causes for diversion include real estate, educational institutions like engineering, medical colleges and private schools, construction of several warehouses for seed storage followed by land diversion for infrastructure and irrigation purposes. Diversion of agricultural land has been higher in Kurnool and Kallur revenue Divisions. In several villages in these mandals only around 20% of agricultural land is remaining today. Field visits to Joharapuram, Dinnedevarapaadu in Kurnool mandal and Pandipaadu and Peddapaadu in Kallur mandal reflect the above clearly. Officials share that the Net Sown Area has been steadily coming down, while there have also been major shifts in cropping patterns in the district.
12. Analysis of data from Srikakulam district reveals that land put to non-agricultural purposes increased from 74,453 hectares to 99,000 hectares (33%) during the 18-year period. Land under current fallows also increased significantly from 22,000 hectares in 1990 to 56,845 during 2004-05 and then fell steeply to 10,000 hectares during the year 2007-08. The area under paddy cultivation registered a marginal decrease from 2.14 lakh hectares to 1.97 lakh hectares during the 18-year period while area under Ragi cultivation fell steeply from over 18,000 hectares to around 3,000 hectares in the same period. Area under both green gram and black gram rose significantly during this period.

13. Discussions with various government officials and activists in Srikakulam district showed that the major causes of land diversion in the district are irrigation, infrastructure projects such as Thermal power plants, and real estate to some extent in recent years. Field visits to various parts of the district revealed various dimensions of land conversion and diversion taking place in the district. In the tribal areas of the district land under podu cultivation which was under food crops had been converted into cashew and other plantation crops during the 1980’s by government agencies like the ITDA, supported by funding from IFAD. In both tribal and sub-plan areas in the last 5-8 years, land which was earlier under food crops like millets and cereals is being converted into plantation crops through land leasing to migrant farmers from other districts who are coming here with capital to encourage plantations. Most of those leasing land are from Krishna, Guntur, East Godavari and West Godavari districts. Land is leased out through formal and informal contracts for raising palm oil, cashew, banana, casurina etc. This is happening in Seethampeta, Hiremandalam, Kothuru, Bhamini, Ponduru, Veeraghattam, Buruji mandals. Land leasing is also done for plantation by companies like J.K. Paper Mills, Andhra Paper Mills, Rajamundry and Jeypore Paper Mills, Rayagada, Orissa. According to a study by ActionAid and Laya on displacement related issues, it is estimated that around 2 lakh acres of land in Srikakulam, Vishakapatnam and Vizianagaram districts has been diverted to mining, granite and stone quarrying, industries, infrastructure projects in the last 10 years.

14. Data from Vishakapatnam shows that both LNA and area under CF have increased during the 18-year period. The extent of area put to LNA increased from 85,944 hectares to 1.03 lakh hectares during this period while land under CF increased from 12,000 hectares to 63,000 hectares. Land under paddy cultivation increased marginally from 1.08 lakh hectares to 1.18 lakh hectares during the decade 1990-00 and fell to 95,747 hectares in 2007-08. Cultivation of Ragi area fell from 38,924 hectares to 29,423 hectares during the period whereas area under Bajra cultivation fell drastically from 41,000 hectares to 8000 hectares. Cultivation of area under Jowar remained more or less constant during this period.

15. Findings from Vishakapatnam district reveal that of the three main revenue divisions in the district- Paderu, Narasipatnam and Vishakapatnam, diversion of agricultural lands has been highest in Vishakapatnam division in the last 10 years.
Land diversion has been mostly to industries and real estate activities and this diversion has been higher in 8-9 mandals of the district. These include Vishakapatnam Urban, Anandapuram, Bhimili, Paravada, Pendurthi, Sabbavaram, Peddagantyada and Anakapalli in the Vishakapatnam revenue division. In the Narsipatnam revenue division, the diversion is higher in Achutapuram, Rambilli, Maakavaaripalem, Payakaraopeta and Nakkapalli mandals where land has been diverted to several industries and Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the last 10 years. All these mandals are located on the National Highway-5 and are close to the sea coast. The extent of land diversion to SEZs alone is around 20,000 acres. However, the development of SEZs only form part of a larger Petroleum, Chemicals and Petro-chemical Investment Region (PCPIR) proposed to be developed in an area of 604 square kilometers, involving 1.49 lakh acres of land. The pace of farm land diversion has been faster since the early 2000’s. The period 2006-08 was a period of boom in real estate. However, large extents of land diverted for real estate ventures have not taken off and left fallow. Estimates related to applications for conversion of agricultural land as per the 2006 Act from the RDO office, Vishakapatnam shows that the figures are underestimates. Paddy, Ragi, Bajra and sugar cane are the major crops in the district and are mostly rainfed. The increase in land value due to industrialization and real estate has also influenced shifts in cropping patterns to horticulture crops and plantation crops like cashew, casurina etc in the last 10 years in the district.

16. A review of State policies in the last two decades reveals that during the 1990-2000 period globalization led policies involving withdrawal of support to agriculture in the form of cut back in subsidies on seeds, water, power and other critical inputs led to the destruction of the agricultural sector in many ways. More importantly, this period also saw important changes in the functioning of the revenue department with a dilution of its core functions and more development related functions being added on. The vision 2020 policy document clearly reflected the government policy with regard to agriculture stating the need to reduce the percentage of people dependent on this sector for their livelihoods. With the objective of achieving faster growth rate in the State, several infrastructure projects such as expansion of highways, roads, international airport in Hyderabad, and policy guidelines for establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the State were introduced by the TDP Government during the period 2000-03. All these projects involved large scale acquisition of farm lands in various parts of the State.

17. Analysis of State policies in the last 8 years shows that along with the pursuance of earlier policies by the subsequent Congress Government, several key policy decisions made especially in relation to land have facilitated and perhaps accelerated the diversion of farm land in many ways. The introduction of the APSEZ bill by the Congress government following the enactment of the SEZ Act in 2005, replacement of the earlier A.P Non-Agricultural Lands Assessment Act (NALA) 1963 with the Agricultural lands (Conversion to non-agricultural Purposes) Act 2006, critical amendment to the original Assignment lands
(Prevention of Transfer) Act 1977 through the 8 of 2007, amendments to the Urban and Agricultural lands Ceiling Act, etc have had important implications on agricultural land. Further, the expansion of the powers of Urban Development bodies like HUDA and VUDA has also led to these institutions being involved in sale of farm lands in the peripheries of cities to raise money through auctions. State owned Corporations like the APIIC have also been playing an important role in the development and facilitation of SEZs as well as creating land banks and developing the same for industrial purposes all over the State.

18. In the year 2002, the GOAP passed the A.P. Water, Land and Trees Act 2002, and Rules 2002, to promote water conservation and tree cover and to regulate the exploitation and use of ground and surface water for protection and conservation of water sources and land related matters connected therewith. A significant intervention aimed at addressing the issue of land was the constitution of the Koneru Ranga Rao Committee in 2005 to study land issues in the State and come up with recommendations for the implementation of land reforms. But the implementation of the Committee’s recommendations has been tardy and ineffective. The Congress government also claims to have distributed of over 7 lakh acres of government land to the landless poor in the last 6 years in various phases. Field visits to the five study districts and data collected through discussions with a cross section of people shows the manner in which the official policies related to land issues as well as the related impacts on agriculture bear out on ground.

19. The study findings suggest the need for some urgent policy measures to arrest diversion of agricultural land in the State such as formulation of a comprehensive land use policy by the Government, regulating the diversion of farm land by setting up threshold levels for minimal land diversion, formation of a fully functional State land Use Board at the earliest, comprehensive land reforms implementation with appropriate legislation to prevent the alienation of land from the hands of the poor, reviewing the APSEZ policy and bill and a moratorium on further diversion of land for SEZs, projects like coastal industrial corridor etc reviewing the approvals to existing SEZs, implementation of the Koneru Ranga Committee recommendations at the earliest etc. The study findings clearly points to the need to address the growing problem of current fallows in various districts along with the issue of land diversion. Public investments in the form of irrigation, credit and other infrastructural support are urgently needed to address the issue of current fallows and bring the land under the same under cultivation. Strengthening the role of Gram Panchayats and Gram Sabha’s on land related issues at the village level. Revamping the role and functions of the revenue department especially to accelerate the process of survey and settlement of outstanding claims and disputes related to land, updating of land records in various districts etc.
The study is a preliminary attempt to understand the phenomenon of land diversion and its impact on food production. Notwithstanding the limited time frame and resources for the study as well as paucity of data related to various dimensions of land diversion, it is hoped that this study will provide a useful framework and a basis for a more detailed analysis and building of data base in future on the nature and forms of land diversion in Andhra Pradesh.
1. Introduction

Agriculture plays a significant role in the Indian economy and the performance of this sector is vital for inclusive growth of the country. Agriculture not only contributes to the overall growth of the economy but also provides a vital livelihood base, employment and food security to the majority of the country’s population. Andhra Pradesh was among the very few states in the country which went in for the Green Revolution in rice cultivation in the 1970s. Although its contribution to gross state domestic product (GSDP) is around one-fourth, agriculture provides employment to nearly 60 per cent of the work force. The share of agriculture in GSDP and employment are higher in A.P. as compared to all-India. Average per capita agricultural income in the state was Rs. 3618 while it was Rs. 3360 in all-India at constant (1993-94) prices in the triennium 2002-05 (Andhra Pradesh Human Development Report, 2007).

However, in the past two decades or so, there have been significant changes in the structure and performance of the agricultural sector in Andhra Pradesh. So far, various studies and analysis have focused attention on the growing crisis in agriculture due to the adverse impacts of liberalization-led policy initiatives in the sector and its dimensions in the form of withdrawal of subsidies accompanied by high input costs, inadequate institutional credit and public investments in infrastructure creation, market volatility, etc leading to high incidence of suicides by farmers in the State. An emerging challenge in relation to agriculture that has not been adequately researched or analyzed is the diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes. These include industries, establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), development of infrastructure in the form of highways, expressways, expansion and creation of new airports, ports, thermal power plants, mining, irrigation and housing projects etc. All these developments appear to be creating competing priorities and pressures on land availability and use, with often adverse implications for the farming sector.

There are no official estimates on how much agriculture land has been diverted till date as has been made clear by the Planning Commission’s July 2006 report of the Working Group on Land Relations for the 11th Five Year Plan. According to the estimates by the Ministry of Agriculture, between 1990 and 2004, land under non-agricultural use has gone up by 34 lakh hectares (GOI, 2007). Meanwhile, there is evidence from all across the country that agricultural land is shrinking. In Andhra Pradesh again there is no official estimate of the scale of farm land diversion to other purposes. Available study reports and some media reports indicate that indicate the accelerated pace of farm land diversion in Andhra Pradesh over the last decade or so.

Available literature also suggest that the large scale diversion of farm land has been facilitated through relaxing land acquisition procedures and ceiling regulations by various states, including Andhra Pradesh in the post 1991 period. Apart from diversion of farm land to non-farm purposes, available evidence from various parts of the State also points to the increasing conversion of agricultural lands to commercial plantation like timber, oil palm, or allocation of large tracts of lands for growing biofuels etc. These shifts also appeared to be increasingly contributing to food insecurity and threatening the
livelihoods of farming and livestock rearing communities in many ways. In the absence of any data and any systematic study on the above processes, there was an urgent need to undertake an in depth study of the extent, forms and impacts of diversion of farm land both at the macro and micro levels, and policy process related to the same in order to reorient both policy directions in the State and practices on ground.

1.1 Study Objectives

In the above context, the main objectives of this study were

- To understand the nature and extent of diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes in the State over the last two decades
- To understand the implications of farm land diversion on agriculture and food production at the State and micro-levels
- To use the study outcomes as a basis for coming up with some recommendations as well as for sharing the findings amongst farmers, labor unions, civil society organizations etc for building evidence based advocacy processed at various levels

2. Study Methodology

The study methodology broadly consisted of the following components and steps.

- **Review of Secondary Literature:** As a starting point, the study sought to understand the nature and patterns of farm land diversion through a review of existing literature in the form of research reports, media reports etc on the subject along with official documents and sources such as land utilization data and cropping data at the State and for various districts for roughly two decades (1990-2009) which formed the focus of this study. Review of secondary also included Government Orders, legislations, policies, combined with online search through relevant websites etc

- **Secondary Data Collection:** Collection of secondary data for the study was done from the offices of relevant government departments in Hyderabad such as the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Revenue Department, University Libraries etc.

- **Selection of Sample Districts for Study:** Using the analysis from a review of existing literature on the subject and secondary data as a basis, 5 sample districts were selected for more detailed field study namely Ranga Reddy and Medak district in Telangana region, Srikakulam and Vishakapatnam in Coastal Andhra and Kurnool district in the Rayalaseema region. Some of the key criteria from secondary data used for selection of these districts for primary field studies were regional variations in land use shifts, districts where large extent of agricultural lands have been diverted for various purposes etc

- **Collection of Primary Data:** In all the above 5 districts, attempt was made to collate data from various sources such as the offices of Chief Planning Officers
(CPO) Mandal Revenue Offices, Stamps and Registration Departments, Revenue Divisional Offices in the districts, Department of Agriculture, Directorate of Industries etc. This was combined with a cross section of detailed interviews with activists and local organizations working on the issue as well as discussions with farmers and local communities to understand their experiences in relation to diversion of farm land for various projects and related impacts over time.

2.1 Study Methods/Tools

- A combination of **quantitative and qualitative data collection methods** were adopted for collection of both primary and secondary data such as time series data on land use and cropping patterns, trend analysis, use of open-ended interviews, group discussions, compilation of case studies, policy analysis etc to understand and categorize various processes in relation diversion of agricultural land.

- **Photo Documentation:** Photo Documentation was also undertaken as part of this study wherever possible/relevant.

**Time Frame** — The study was carried out over a 5-month period from November 2009 to March 2010.
Chapter 3. Agriculture and Shifting Land Use Patterns in Andhra Pradesh: An
Overview of Key Issues and Concerns

The role of agricultural sector in the Country’s economy is very significant. The green revolution was aimed at helping the country to achieve self-sufficiency in food grains and it succeeded in achieving this objective to some extent. But given the problems with the green revolution technologies, however, the subsequent periods saw the natural resource base of the major food bowls across the country being severely eroded with production levels either stagnating or declining for most crops. Research and analysis by many has shown that the impact of green revolution have been disastrous on many fronts. Evidence also points to the fact that the overall growth rate in agriculture after 1990 has declined as compared to 1980s (Economic Survey of India, 2007; Dev, 2004). The stagnation in agricultural growth that began in the decade and a half period beginning 1980, when the growth rate hovered around 3%, dropped to a mere 2% for the period 1995-2005; later this further nosedived to 1.5%. The contribution of agriculture to GDP also fell from 37% in 1983 to around 19% during 2007-08. Despite this reality, the 11th five year plan projects an ambitious target of 4% rate of growth in agriculture. The growth in productivity of most of the important food crops like rice, wheat, coarse cereals and oilseeds was negative for the period 1996-2004. When the food demand is projected to touch 240 million tonnes in 2010, production stood at less than 220 tonnes during the year 2007-08 (Goswami, 2008).

Section-I: Overview of the Status of Agriculture in Andhra Pradesh

In Andhra Pradesh, around 63% of the working population is dependent on agriculture for their livelihoods. The contribution of the primary sector including agriculture, horticulture and animal husbandry to the Gross State Domestic Product (GSDP) of Andhra Pradesh for the year 2007-08 was 22.18%. Agricultural production in the State is largely dependent on rainfall and seasonal monsoon or rainfall conditions play a major role in determining the extent of food production or output from agriculture. Around 49.3% of the area in the State is under agriculture, which is higher than the national average of 40.4%. Of the Gross Sown Area in AP, the Gross Irrigated Area is 46.3%.

A review of land use trends in Andhra Pradesh over the last five to six decades clearly shows that there is an overall stagnation of cultivable area. An increase in the net sown area is neither seen as desirable or feasible, as indicated by various plan documents (Vision 2020, Swarnadhra Pradesh, 2000, GOAP). This is particularly true in the wake of structural adjustment and reform processes initiated in the State during the mid-nineties, where the secondary and tertiary or services sector were seen as being more important engines for the State’s Growth. However, unlike the other two sectors, agriculture is a land intensive activity and therefore the declining contribution of agriculture in the GSDP cannot be really indicative of the relative requirement of land for this sector. The adverse impact of globalization-led policies on agricultural growth pursued by various governments in the State over the past two decades has been well analyzed in various writings (Dev, 2004; Reddy, D.N., 2006, Vaidyanathan, 2006).
An area that has perhaps not received adequate research or analysis so far is the diversion of agricultural land in the State for a range of non-agricultural purposes and the impact of the same on agriculture. The diversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural purposes is increasingly being justified based on the now well entrenched discourse or argument that agriculture, especially small-scale farming, is no longer remunerative or viable. There are also indications that the pace and extent of land diversion has been higher in the last decade or so. Through an analysis of official data on land use, changes in cropping patterns, data on land diversion to various projects and a review of official policies that have impacted these shifts over the last two decades, this section attempts to bring out the linkages between these variables in order to understand the implications of the same on agriculture and food production in the State.

1. Classification of Geographical Area in Andhra Pradesh

Crop and land use statistics form the backbone of the Agricultural Statistics System. Reliable and timely information on crop area, crop production and land use is of great importance to planners and policy makers for efficient agricultural development and for taking decisions on production, procurement, storage, public distribution, export, import and many other related issues. Prior to 1950-51, the land utilization statistics were available under five categories namely forest, area not available for cultivation, other uncultivated land excluding current fallows, fallow lands and net sown area. This classification was found to be insufficient to meet the needs of agricultural planning and later a more detailed nine-fold classification on land use with standard definitions and concepts were adopted by the government. These are as follows.

i. **Forest** – Area under forest includes all lands classified as forests under any legal enactment dealing with forests, whether State owned or private. The area of crop raised in the forest and grazing lands or area for grazing within the forests is also included under the forest area.

ii. **Land Put to Non-Agricultural purposes** – This includes all lands occupied by buildings, roads, and railways or under water like river and canals and land put to uses other than agriculture.

iii. **Barren and Unculturable Land** – This covers all barren and uncultivable land like mountains, deserts etc and land includes all such land whether in isolated blocks or within cultivated holdings.

iv. **Permanent Pastures and Other Grazing Lands** – This covers all grazing lands, whether they are permanent pastures and meadows or not. Village common grazing lands are also included here.

v. **Miscellaneous Tree Crops and Groves** – This includes land not counted as net sown area but put to some agricultural use. Lands under casurina trees, thatching, grass, bamboo bushes and other groves for fuel etc not included under orchards shall be included in this category.

vi. **Culturable Waste** – These includes all lands available for cultivation whether not taken up for cultivation or taken up for cultivation once and left uncultivated during the current year or last five years in succession.
vii. **Other Fallow Lands** – This includes all lands which were taken up for cultivation but are temporarily out of cultivation for a period of not less than one year and not more than five years. The reasons for keeping the land fallow may be poverty, inadequate supply of water, un remunerative nature of farming, sitting of canal and rivers etc

viii. **Current Fallow** – This category comprises cropped areas, which are kept fallow during the current year such as seeding area which is not cropped again in the same year may be treated as current fallow

ix. **Net Sown Area** – This represents the total area sown with crops and orchards and also includes areas sown more than once in the same year and gross cropped or total cropped area which is the sum of areas under all crops and which includes Net Sown Area and Areas Sown more than once.

2. **Trends in Land Use Patterns in Andhra Pradesh**

Out of the nine-fold classification on land use given above, three broad categories have been derived from nine categories about which information is available. These are Area Not Available for cultivation (which is the sum of forests, area under non-agricultural use and barren land), Total Cultivable Area (sum of net area sown, all fallows, cuturable waste), Area Not Under Crop Cultivation but Under Use (sum of tree crops, pastures). The following table indicates the growth rates of land use particulars in AP over the last six decades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Area Not Available for Cultivation (Percent)</th>
<th>Cultivable Area (Percent)</th>
<th>Area Not Under Crop Cultivation But Under Use (Percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Later Fifties</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixties</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>(+) 0.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventies</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(+) 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighties</td>
<td>(+) 0.29</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>(+) 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nineties</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>(+) 0.04</td>
<td>(+) 2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Two Thousands</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>(+) 0.14</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table clearly indicates that the area not available for cultivation recorded the highest growth rate of 0.49 % in the sixties while revealing a negative growth rate (of 0.29%) during the Eighties. In the early two thousands, it recorded a growth rate of 0.16%. The total cultivable area has always been hovering between 153 and 159 lakh hectares during the period 1955-56 to 2004-05 but in the later Fifties, the growth rate was the highest (0.37%). In the sixties, it recoded a negative growth rate of 0.27%. In the eighties, it recorded a compound growth rate of 0.24%. In the Nineties and Early Two Thousands, it indicated a negative growth rate. The Area not Under Crop Cultivation but under use has almost consistently declined from a compound growth rate of 1.46 in the
late fifties, showing a declining trend from the seventies through the nineties and then showing a growth rate of 0.52% again in the early two thousands.

Table 2
Decadal Variation in Shares of Various Land Use Categories to Reporting Area (% of RA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Area Not Available for Cultivation</th>
<th>Pastures &amp; Tree Crops</th>
<th>Total Cultivable Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955-1956</td>
<td>36.85</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>57.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1966</td>
<td>37.15</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>57.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-1976</td>
<td>38.90</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>56.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-1986</td>
<td>37.73</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>58.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-1996</td>
<td>39.28</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>57.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2005</td>
<td>39.69</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>56.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above table, it can be inferred that the share of land not available for cultivation has increased almost by 3 percentage points over the five decade period from 36.85% to 39.69%. On the other hand, the land available for cultivation is almost hovering around 57 to 58 percent. The share of pastures and tree crops has been witnessing a steady increase.

3. Changes in Cropping Pattern and Cropping Intensity

An analysis of food production in Andhra Pradesh with regard to principal crops reveals important shifts in the last five decades or so. Rice is a major crop in the State followed by millets, pulses and non-food crops like oilseeds, Cotton, Tobacco, Jute, Dyes, fodder crops and green manure crops. The gross cropped area of Andhra Pradesh had increased from 123.02 lakh hectares in 1955-56 to 125.18 lakh hectares in 2004-05. But this shift indicates a major change from food crops to non-food crops. It is evident from data that the total area under cereals and millets fell from 76.07 lakh hectares in 1955-56 lakh hectares to 44.63 lakh hectares in 2004-05. In comparison, the area under non-food crops increased from 28.32 lakh hectares to 46.35 lakh hectares during the above period. The crops that caused this important shift are cotton, Groundnut and sunflower. Time series data related to area under principal crops in the State indicates shifts in cultivation area more clearly over the period 1990-2008.

Area under Principal Food and Non-Food Crops (in Lakh Hectares) for 1990-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>1990-91</th>
<th>1994-95</th>
<th>1999-00</th>
<th>2004-05</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>4035884</td>
<td>3637558</td>
<td>4014248</td>
<td>3086202</td>
<td>3984000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jowar</td>
<td>1190105</td>
<td>944398</td>
<td>735929</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td>331000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajra</td>
<td>231362</td>
<td>137330</td>
<td>116603</td>
<td>94000</td>
<td>74000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Gram</td>
<td>493380</td>
<td>500725</td>
<td>458689</td>
<td>454000</td>
<td>438000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Gram</td>
<td>539780</td>
<td>464698</td>
<td>460851</td>
<td>427000</td>
<td>503000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Gram</td>
<td>345692</td>
<td>298944</td>
<td>432159</td>
<td>480000</td>
<td>463000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>655388</td>
<td>844529</td>
<td>1045704</td>
<td>1178000</td>
<td>1134000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnuts</td>
<td>2393863</td>
<td>2176298</td>
<td>1795117</td>
<td>1841000</td>
<td>1795000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>162486</td>
<td>402646</td>
<td>277803</td>
<td>476000</td>
<td>426000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above data shows that rice production fell from 40.35 lakh hectares in 1990-91 to 39.84 in 2007-08. Cultivation of Jowar areas fell steeply from 11.90 lakh hectares to 3.31 lakh hectares and Bajra from 2.31 lakh hectares to 74,000 hectares during the period. Excepting Red Gram, cultivation of area under pulses also reflects a decrease during this period. Cultivation of area under Cotton almost doubled during this period from 6.5 lakh hectares to 11.3 lakh hectares. Similarly, area under sunflower cultivation increased from 1.62 lakh hectares to 4.26 lakh hectares whereas area under groundnut cultivation decreased from 2.39 lakh hectares to 1.79 lakh hectares during this 18-year period.

The primary source of land increase is expected to come from increase in cropping intensity. Cropping intensity is the ratio of gross area sown to net area sown and is one of the indices for assessing the efficiency of agricultural sector. It can be observed from the data that the cropping intensity in the State which was 1.09 during 1955-56 increased to 1.24 in 1988-89 but dwindled to 1.21 in the year 2004-05 and again increased slightly to 1.26 in 2007-08. While availability of water, especially from man-made sources is an important factor for determining cropping intensity, this fact along with the above data also indicates that there is every scope for increasing food production through policies and programmes.

4. Irrigation Statistics

The main sources of irrigation in Andhra Pradesh are canals, Tanks, Tube Wells and Dug Wells. Irrigation Statistics comprise mainly data on area irrigated by different sources and the area under different crops irrigated. Two types of irrigated area can be distinguished – Net Area Irrigated, which is the area irrigated during the agricultural year counting the area only once even if two or more crops are irrigated during different seasons on the same land and Gross Area Irrigated, which is the total area irrigated under various crops by various sources during the year, counting the area irrigated under more than one crop during the same year.

The Net area irrigated was 27.47 lakh hectares in 1955-56 and it increased to 46.44 lakh hectares in 2007-08. Similarly, the gross area irrigated which was 31.99 lakh hectares in 1955-56 increased to 62.85 lakh hectares in 2007-08. Irrigation intensity i.e. the ratio of gross irrigated area to net irrigated area was 1.16 in 1955-56 increased to 1.35 in 2007-08. Disaggregation of data related to Net area irrigated by various sources again indicates important shifts. The area irrigated by tanks decreased significantly from 10.67 lakh hectares in 1955-56 to 4.77 lakh hectares by 2004-05. The area irrigated by canals during the same period increased from 12.91 lakh hectares to 13.45 lakh hectares. The area irrigated by tube wells, which began during 1961-62 rose exponentially from 20,248 hectares to 12.54 lakh hectares by 2004-05.
Section-II: Understanding Patterns of Land Use Shifts in Andhra Pradesh

Land is a finite resource and put to many competing uses. It comprises soils, minerals, water and biota. In India land is a source of livelihood for over 70% of the population through agriculture and related activities. Population growth and the consequent demand for land, water and biological resources has put tremendous pressure on land. In recent times, land-use change through industrialization, urban growth, development of transportation networks, creation of infrastructure etc has accelerated the process of land diversion from agriculture to various other purposes as well as land degradation in a big way.

The Prime Minister, Dr. Manmohan Singh in his address to the CII Summit in 2007 said that, “Agriculture has become unviable on account of the small land holdings of Indian farmers. National interest of overall growth and development demands creation of jobs in Industrial sector. Industrial Progress is vital to the progress of the nation”, (India Vision 2020 Challenges Ahead, March 26, 2007, New Delhi). In March 2010, the Central Government said that the country’s cultivable land has marginally fallen in 2005-06, mainly due to diversion of farm land for non-agricultural purposes. Total cultivable land has declined to 182.57 million hectares in 2005-06 from 185.09 million hectares in 1980-81. During the same period, land under non-agricultural purpose went up to 24.94 million hectares from 19.66 million hectares, resulting in a marginal fall in cultivable land, Minister of State for Agriculture Kanti Lal Bhuria said in a written reply. “This reduction in cultivable land is mainly due to diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes such as urbanization, roads and industries,” he said (Financial Express, March 10, 2008). A rhetoric that runs through most of the official transcripts and arguments about the status of agriculture in the country is about the non-viability of the farming sector in general and of small farms in particular. The diversion of agricultural land for various purposes is often justified by the arguments that agriculture is no longer viable or that the category of lands being acquired are barren, uncultivable wastelands.

There are no official estimates on how much agriculture land has been diverted till date as has been made clear by the Planning Commission’s July 2006 report of the Working Group on Land Relations for the11th Five Year Plan. The GOI itself puts the figure at 1.5% of net sown area between 1990 and 2003, or more than 21 lakh hectares, though the actual figures could be much higher. Putting just this much land under wheat would yield 57 lakh tonnes of produce, enough to feed more than 4.3 crore hungry people every year (Goswami, 2008). According to the estimates by the Ministry of Agriculture, between 1990 and 2004, land under non-agricultural use has gone up by 34 lakh hectares (GOI, 2007). Meanwhile, there is evidence from all across the country that agricultural land is shrinking. According to official figures, Tamil Nadu lost more than 10 lakh hectares of agricultural land between 1991 and 2003. Mineral-rich Orissa, Jharkhand and Chhattisgarh are losing agricultural land to mining and power projects. In Kerala, between 1997-98 and 2001-02, over 80,000 hectares of crop land were diverted for non-agricultural use. Even in the case of a small state like Himachal Pradesh, the net sown area has declined by 33,000 hectares between 1991 and 2001 (Goswami, 2008).
1. Contextualizing Land Use Shifts and the diversion of Agricultural land in Andhra Pradesh

In Andhra Pradesh, there is no accurate or official estimate of the scale of farm land diversion to other purposes. If one takes the official classification of land put to non-agricultural purposes as a basis, then the figures reveal that there has been a significant increase in the land diverted to other purposes from 23.06 lakh hectares in 1990-91 to 26.37 lakh hectares by 2007-08. In other words, this means that an extent of 3.31 lakh hectares or 8.27 lakh acres has been diverted to non-agricultural purposes during this 18-year period. However, even these figures may not be entirely accurate since many land transactions, especially for real estate purposes, are not officially recorded and therefore do not form part of the above estimates. There is also paucity of data related to diversion of government lands to non-agricultural purposes, which are cultivated by a large number of the poor in the State.

In Andhra Pradesh, one can see two broad patterns or phases of reform induced policies that have had adverse consequences for both agriculture and the larger issue of land reforms in the State. The first phase of post 1990’s period was characterized by the introduction of liberalization led policies involving withdrawal of subsidies and other support systems by the State to farmers which led to a decline in agricultural production and a crisis marked by large scale indebtedness and suicides by farmers in the State and elsewhere. During the mid and later 1990’s, the above first phase is also accompanied by reforms in governance of several key State departments and institutions, which witnessed restructuring as part of the World bank supported Andhra Pradesh Economic Reforms Programme (APERP) introduced by the Telugu Desam Government at that time. Very significantly, this phase saw the Revenue Department being tasked with increasing developmental functions, thereby moving away from its core role and functions related to land governance in the State. The replacement of the Village Revenue Officers (VRO) with the Pachayat Secretary is an important indicator of this shift. This second phase was marked by introductions of policies and programmes which have had far reaching consequences for both agriculture and the important agenda of land reforms in the State.

The roadmap for the future of the agricultural sector in Andhra Pradesh was laid out in a vision document called "Vision 2020 – Swarna Andhra Pradesh", a vision document written by the Mckinsey & Company, who were the chief consultants to the then Telugu Desam Government. The document was unveiled by the Mr. Chandrababu Naidu, then the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh on January 26th, 1999. This Vision document enunciated a growth rate of agriculture by 6% per annum but with the share of employment in agriculture being decreased from 70% to 40%. Both industrial sector and services sector were projected to grow at the rate of 11% and 12% per annum respectively. Within the agricultural sector, rice, dairy, poultry, fisheries, horticulture and agro-industries were identified as growth engines, while industrial sector was set to grow through expansion of construction, mining, pharmaceuticals, small-scale industries, infrastructure, leather and labour intensive export oriented garments. In the service sector,
IT, tourism, small-scale services, logistics, knowledge-based services, education and health care were to be the growth engines.

This section attempts to analyze official data wherever available, related to diversion of farm land for various purposes in the last two decades along with shifts in related policies that enabled the diversion of agricultural lands to a large extent.

2. Changing Patterns of Land Use in Andhra Pradesh

A look at the data below on land utilization patterns in Andhra Pradesh during 1990-91 to 2007-08 shows that out of the total geographical area of 274.40 lakh hectares in the State, the extent of land put to non-agricultural purposes (LNA) increased from 23.06 lakh hectares in 1990-91 to 26.37 lakh hectares in 2007-08 (increase of 14%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>1990-91</th>
<th>1994-95</th>
<th>1999-00</th>
<th>2004-05</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Geographical Area</td>
<td>27440049</td>
<td>27440049</td>
<td>27440049</td>
<td>27440049</td>
<td>27440049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Area</td>
<td>6267989</td>
<td>6245404</td>
<td>6199225</td>
<td>6199225</td>
<td>6210000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren Land</td>
<td>2096413</td>
<td>2070137</td>
<td>2106539</td>
<td>2083664</td>
<td>2059000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Put to Non-Agricultural Purposes</td>
<td>2306746</td>
<td>2500203</td>
<td>2511583</td>
<td>2607795</td>
<td>2637000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Pastures</td>
<td>842961</td>
<td>762612</td>
<td>681674</td>
<td>676062</td>
<td>571000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Trees</td>
<td>261863</td>
<td>246870</td>
<td>242560</td>
<td>277805</td>
<td>306000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culturable Waste</td>
<td>780300</td>
<td>778800</td>
<td>781315</td>
<td>694418</td>
<td>659000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fallows</td>
<td>1377459</td>
<td>1745019</td>
<td>1452004</td>
<td>1650702</td>
<td>1500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Fallows</td>
<td>2484532</td>
<td>2726331</td>
<td>2760725</td>
<td>2818639</td>
<td>2719000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Sown Area</td>
<td>11021786</td>
<td>10364673</td>
<td>10610025</td>
<td>10327470</td>
<td>10756000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Sown More than Once</td>
<td>2170928</td>
<td>2418438</td>
<td>2413013</td>
<td>2191078</td>
<td>2811000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cropped Area</td>
<td>13192714</td>
<td>1278111</td>
<td>13023038</td>
<td>12518548</td>
<td>13567000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The area under Current Fallows (CF) also steadily increased from 24.84 lakh hectares to 27.19 lakh hectares during the period (9.4% increase). The data related to culturable waste in the State, which includes all lands available for cultivation but not cultivated during the current year and last five years or more in succession decreased from 78.03 lakhs to 65.9 lakh hectares during the 18-year period. The Net Sown Area (NSA) came down from 110.21 lakhs to 107.56 lakh hectares (-2.4%) during this period.

Intra-State analysis for various regions as shown in the table below again reveals interesting findings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Total Geographical Area</th>
<th>Land Put to Non-Agricultural Purposes (Area in Hectares)</th>
<th>% Change in Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>1994-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>584290</td>
<td>74453</td>
<td>76298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td>630038</td>
<td>74379</td>
<td>74135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishakapatnam</td>
<td>1134284</td>
<td>85944</td>
<td>86214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>1081843</td>
<td>111247</td>
<td>121745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>779538</td>
<td>92537</td>
<td>126592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>879694</td>
<td>113893</td>
<td>182453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>1132824</td>
<td>144530</td>
<td>155919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>1714062</td>
<td>142930</td>
<td>146830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>1316042</td>
<td>196446</td>
<td>216989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>1498770</td>
<td>140047</td>
<td>140464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadapa</td>
<td>1537838</td>
<td>169089</td>
<td>164708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>1913492</td>
<td>159330</td>
<td>162642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>1760034</td>
<td>100808</td>
<td>100809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahboobnagar</td>
<td>1847241</td>
<td>74034</td>
<td>65975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranga Reddy</td>
<td>753247</td>
<td>77942</td>
<td>86414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>23002</td>
<td>20702</td>
<td>18739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>951903</td>
<td>60660</td>
<td>63767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>806215</td>
<td>74778</td>
<td>74278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>1620381</td>
<td>61686</td>
<td>60674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>1188499</td>
<td>70649</td>
<td>75173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>1283552</td>
<td>53972</td>
<td>58464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>1580936</td>
<td>115985</td>
<td>124968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>1422324</td>
<td>90705</td>
<td>116516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>27440049</td>
<td>2306746</td>
<td>2500203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In the North coastal Andhra region, data indicates that land put to non-agricultural purposes has been highest in Srikakulam district with a 33% increase during the above period, followed by East Godavari (25%) and Vishakapatnam (20%). In the South Coastal Andhra region, Krishna district shows the highest extent of land put to non-agricultural purposes with a 27% increase over the 18-year period followed by Nellore district (25%).

In the Rayalaseema region, Kurnool district shows the highest area put to non-agricultural purposes with a 37% increase during the period 1990-91 to 2007-08. In the Telangana region, Ranga Reddy district has the highest area put to non-agricultural purposes (31%), followed by Karimnagar and Nalgonda (both 27%) Nizamabad (18%) and Medak (15%) district for the above period.
3. Diversion of Agricultural and Forest Lands for Development Projects

A study undertaken to understand the nature and impact of development-induced displacement, especially in the tribal areas of Andhra Pradesh, Jharkhand, Chattisgarh and Orissa revealed that a total of 12,04,522.64 acres of land have been acquired in the four States broadly during the period 1996-2007. Water resources, industry, transport, mining, and non-hydro power projects are the main categories of development projects that have taken up a maximum extent of land in the tribal areas. The main types of land acquired for these projects were private land, forest land and common land. Interestingly, large extents of land acquired were shown as uncategorized land in the government records (ActionAid, Indian Social Institute and Laya, 2008).

The study also revealed that a total number of 9, 94,355 persons were displaced (PD) by these development projects and 22, 14, 884 were affected adversely by such projects (PAP). The most disadvantaged by these development projects were members of the Scheduled Tribes (ibid, 2008). The study used Official Gazette Notifications related to land acquisition in the study States for the study period or years and combined this with qualitative data collected in the form of in-depth interviews and discussions with displaced communities in the affected areas as well as with other knowledgeable persons in some sample districts within each State.

In Andhra Pradesh, the researchers scanned a total number of 1184 gazette notifications related to land acquisitions issued for development projects related to water resources, industry, Mines, non-hydel power projects, defence and security, transport and communication, environment protection, human resources, refugee settlement, urban development, housing, social welfare tourism, health services, education, government offices and infrastructure, others etc. The highest numbers of notifications were related to housing (449), followed by water resources (308), mining (196), urban development (67) and transport and communication (49).

A category wise segregation of projects, location and extent of land acquisition based on the above Gazette notifications for the period 1996-2007 revealed the following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Category of Projects</th>
<th>Location/Districts</th>
<th>Land Acquired (in Acres)</th>
<th>Period of Acquisition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.No</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Land Acquired (in Acres)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>33917.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>129939.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>40868.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Vishakapatnam</td>
<td>30722.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td>11906.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>53526.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>300880.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data revealed that around 3,00,880 acres of land was acquired across various categories of projects like water resources, industry, mines, non-hydel power projects, housing, defence and paramilitary, social welfare, transport and communication, tourism and government offices. Of this, 33924.51 acres was private land, 66245.16 acres was forest land and 14055.21 acres was common land and 186618.4 acres of land whose categorization is unknown.

4. Diversion of Forest Land for Mining

In response to a question in the Lok Sabha, LS USQNo.3908, asked by Prahlad Venkates Joshi, Maneka Gandhi and Jaswant Singh Bishnoi related to the extent of forest lands diverted for mining proposals, Mr. Namo Narain Meena, then Minister of State in the Ministry of Environment and Forests responded with State wide details which indicate that around 13,531.61 hectares of forest land in Andhra Pradesh was diverted for mining purposes (Parliament Digest, Monsoon Session, 2005, NCAS). As per the official data brought out recently by the Ministry of Forests and Environments, an estimated 3.68 lakh hectares was regularised in the past 20 years out of the total 10,94,059 hectares of forest land diverted under the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 for various activities. The Centre also approved 64 proposals from various states such as Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar seeking diversion of forest land to illegal occupants including farmers and nomads in the said period. Almost 21.93 per cent (2.40 lakh hectares) of forest land was diverted for rehabilitation purpose as well as setting up schools and hospitals while 34 per cent land was utilised for Hydel (10.42%), Irrigation (10.96%) and Mining (11.70 per cent) projects. Also, 16 proposals were approved for forest village conversion for which 41,170 hectares of forest land was diverted. "Proposals of the state and UT governments, submitted after they got approval of the Supreme Court, are considered for regularization by the Central government under the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act.

Following the economic reforms, there has been a massive entry of private capital including multinational corporations for the exploitation of natural resources for profit. Several State Governments began to sign memorandums of Understanding (MOU) with these companies. Most of the MOU’s were signed in resource rich States like Orissa, Jharkhand, Chattisgarh and Andhra Pradesh followed by some other States. In Andhra Pradesh alone, around 40 MOU’s were signed with various companies and corporations in the name of accelerating development in tribal areas.

5. Diversion of Farm land to Special Economic Zones (SEZs)

In March, 2000, the Government of India (GOI) introduced guidelines for Special Economic Zones (SEZs) during by revising the Export-Import Framework 1997-2002. Soon after this, the Government of Andhra Pradesh came up with a Policy framework for Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the State through a G.O.Ms.No.151 through the Department of Industries and Commerce in April 2002. The previous Telugu Desam Party Government in Andhra Pradesh headed by Mr.Chandra Babu Naidu took an active lead in setting up SEZs in the State. In a letter to the then Union Minister of Finance, Mr.
Jaswanth Singh, the AP Chief Minister informed that the State was the first in the country to announce an exclusive State SEZ Policy with a whole range of incentives and concessions. Two large multi-product SEZs in Vishakaptnam and in Kakinada, East Godavari district were finalized during this period. After the Government of India passed the SEZ Act in 2005, the Congress Government in AP submitted a draft APSEZ Bill to the Council of Ministers who approved the draft Bill in December 2005.

As on March 2010, Andhra Pradesh has a total number of 106 SEZs -the 2nd highest in the country after Maharashtra. Out of 106, 73 SEZs have been notified, which is the highest in the country (notified means land has been acquired and the area has been notified as SEZ through a formal Gazette notification by GOI, Ministry of Commerce. It also means the developers have availed the initial set of tax concessions under the SEZ Act such as registration, stamp duty etc). In the remaining SEZs, land acquisition is still in progress. Neither the central SEZ Act nor the APSEZ bill has any clear cut norms related to acquisition of lands, especially agricultural lands, for setting up SEZs.

Amongst various incentives being given to developers of SEZs in the State, provision of land at desirable locations and at attractive prices is a major incentive. According to the official data, the total land acquired for over 100 SEZs in all categories (notified, formal and in-principal) all over the State is about 33,296 acres. A research study of 16 SEZs in 9 districts shows that 49,575 acres have so far been alienated for purposes SEZs. Of this, close to 30,000 acres are assignment lands and other government lands, which have been resumed back or alienated from the poor for the purposes of SEZs. This means that the actual extent of land handed over to SEZs could be 2-3 times higher than official data (Seethalakhmi.S., 2008). Five large multi-product SEZs in Andhra Pradesh namely – APSEZ in Vishakaptnam, Sri City Infra Reserve in Chittoor, Lepakshi Knowledge Hub SEZ in Anantapur, a multi-product automobile SEZ in Nellore – 6000 acres and the Kakinada SEZ in East Godavari alone take up an area of 42,600 acres. According to a report published in Andhra Jyothi, a leading daily in the State, around 75,000 acres of land have been acquired for various SEZs in AP (Andhra Jyothi, January 27, 2009).

Under the Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977, which was aimed at protecting the interest of the original assignees, the authorities were under the obligation of restoring the assigned land back to the original assignee after resuming the same from the transferee under void transfer. However, by virtue of a subsequent amendment to this act in the form of amendment Act No.8 of 2007, the government is empowered to use the resumed assigned land in the notified areas for infrastructural development and promotion of Industries, without assigning the same to the original assignee. In short, what was given by the State Legislature to landless poor persons by one hand has been taken away by another, by virtue of the Amendment Act 8 of 2007. The resumption of large areas of assignment lands in the name of “Public Purpose” such as for SEZs by the government is made easy with the assignment Patta or “D” form Patta conditions aiding or permitting the same. The land acquisition notifications for many public purposes, including SEZs does not show assigned lands but only private patta lands.
The Government lands which are given to SEZs are shown no where because it is treated as the private affair of the Government.

With a view to minimizing the loss to the farming sector, the state governments were advised that in case of land acquisition for Special Economic Zones (SEZs), priority should be given to waste and barren land, and if necessary, single crop agricultural land could be acquired for SEZs. If, perforce, a portion of double cropped agricultural land has to be acquired to meet the minimum area requirements, especially for multi-product SEZs, the same should not exceed 10% of the total land required for the SEZ. In Andhra Pradesh, contrary to official claims that the lands being diverted to SEZs are barren, uncultivable lands, field research reveals that most of the lands diverted are single and two crop lands. In some places like Kakinada and Chittoor, the lands diverted to SEZs are multi-cropped lands or comprise of fruit orchards (ibid, 2008).

The resumption of assignment lands and alienation of other government lands for SEZs and other public purposes needs to also be placed in a macro context to draw out some of the implications. According to the 2001 census data, the population of SC’s in AP was around 1.23 crores, forming around 16% of the State’s population. The AP Agriculture census of 2005-06 shows that the SC operational area is 28.4 lakh acres. Close to 50% of the land holdings of SC households are assignment lands accessed through the governments’ land distribution programmes. In India, the government land distributed is almost three times more than ceiling surplus land and accounts for about 6 percent of total cultivated area in the country. Andhra Pradesh with 42.02 lakh acres (28.49%) leads the league followed by Uttar Pradesh with 24.89 lakh acres (28.49%) (GOI, 2006, pp.240-241). In 2004, the Congress Government in AP constituted a Land Committee under the chairmanship of Sri Koneru Ranga Rao to comprehensively assess the implementation of land reform process and suggest suitable measures. According to the report submitted by this Committee, between 1969 to 2002, about 42,24,000 acres of government lands have been assigned to 29,23,000 landless households (Land Committee Report, 2006). Although on record the Government has assigned more than 42 lakh acres, the Land Committee report notes that far less is in the hands of the poor and under cultivation. As part of the much trumpeted land distribution programme of the Congress government, another 6,40,000 acres had been assigned in 4 phases during the last 5 years to 4,33,000 households (www.ccla.ap.gov.in). According to official estimates, around 4.45 lakh acres of land distributed to the poor for cultivation has gone out of their hands or been alienated.

7. The role of State Corporations and Agencies in Land Diversion.

The large scale diversion of farm land has been facilitated through relaxing land acquisition procedures and ceiling regulations by various states post 2000 and has resulted in the State itself turning into one of the largest real estate broker and developer in the country. In Andhra Pradesh for example, the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation (APIIC), a wholly owned undertaking of the Government of AP has been playing a major role in acquiring all kinds of lands around the State for promoting industrial parks, SEZs, infrastructure projects etc. The Corporation has been
accused of buying lands, often from small and marginal farmers at cheaper rates and then selling them for higher amounts to the industrialists and investors. Between 1974-2004, APIIC had a land bank of 48,579 acres but this saw an almost three-fold increase in the five year period between 2004-2009 and as on June 2009, the total land bank with APIIC was an extent of 1,21,655 acres. Over 60% (76,566 acres) of this land bank with APIIC comprises of government lands. Of this, an extent of only close to 18,000 acres had been allotted as on 2009, which then indicates that the Corporation was still holding on to a land bank of over 1 lakh acres. In over 43% of the SEZs, the APIIC is playing the role of a developer or a co-developer.

The Deccan Infrastructure and Land Holdings Limited (DILL) is a Company registered under the Companies Act, 1956 as a subsidiary of Andhra Pradesh Housing Board (APHB). In Deccan Infrastructure and Land Holdings Limited (DILL), APHB holds 57.44% of the Equity and the Government of Andhra Pradesh (GoAP) holds 42.56% Equity. The Equity holding of APHB and GOAP has come by way of transfer of their respective lands. The company’s mission is to develop and construct Integrated Townships and implement Urban Infrastructure Projects and provide Urban Housing Solutions, not only in Andhra Pradesh but also outside the state and country. The Chief Secretary, GOAP is the Chairman of the Company. The Principal Secretaries of Revenue and Finance Departments, GOAP, are part of the Board of Directors of the company, amongst others. It is significant that the APHB floated a subsidiary company in the form of DILL in the backdrop of the real estate boom in and around Hyderabad and transferred 4,170 acres of government land in Ranga Reddy, Mahaboobnagar, Medak and Nalgonda districts to the company. DILL has come into being as part of the National Housing Policy and is poised to have a land bank of about 7,000 acres, mostly in and around Hyderabad, for developing integrated townships. As per media reports, around 4,170 acres – 288.87 in Ranga Reddy, 959.64 in Medak, 1,197.17 in Nalgonda and 1,723.70 acres in Mahaboobnagar – have been transferred to DILL by the State Government and the value of these lands is said to be between Rs 5,000 and 6,000 crore. (May 2, 2007: New Indian Express). It is again significant that it is in these four districts along with Vishakapatnam that DILL is involved as a developer in 14 SEZs, which have received formal approval from the Board of Approval (BOA) under the Commerce Ministry.

In April 2007, a central Empowered Group of Ministers (EGoM) decided that the State Governments cannot acquire lands for setting SEZs or other public purposes, especially for private parties. The developers will have to do it on their own. To ensure that existing projects do not get hit by this decision, the EGoM decided to fix February 10, 2006 as the cut off date. Where the State has gone for compulsory acquisition for any proposal after the above date, the BOA would not grant clearance for the same. This clause is also expected to be built into the Comprehensive Land Acquisition Act. In the context of AP, this decision barely makes a difference for two important reasons. Firstly, large tracts of lands being transferred for SEZs and for other public purposes are assignment lands or governments’ lands which are already in governments own possession. Secondly, where State agencies like APIIC and DILL have been designated as nodal agencies
for developing SEZs, integrated townships, most of the land acquisitions have been made prior to the above cut-off date of 2006.

8. Urban Development Agencies and their role in Land Diversion

Increased urbanization and growth of cities in the last two decades has also led to an expansion in the powers and jurisdiction of Urban Development Corporations and agencies, especially in matters related to sale and purchase of land for various purposes in urban areas and its peripheries. In this context, urban development agencies like Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Vishakapatnam Urban Development Authority etc have been invested with expanded role and powers.

Evidence from field research reveals that there has been a large scale sale of agricultural lands for real estate purposes in and around Hyderabad extending to neighboring districts like Ranga Reddy, Medak, Nalgonda, with the extension of the city limit to several mandals in these districts. There has been a rise in speculative real estate in these areas in the past 10 years. The same patterns can be seen in and around Vishakapatnam, Kurnool town, Kakinada, Nellore, Anantapur and other rapidly emerging towns. The expansion of infrastructure, transport and communication in terms of road, air and sea connectivity in and around these towns has led to an increased investment in real estate, IT parks, SEZs etc in these places. All these developments have entailed large scale loss of agricultural land. Agencies like HUDA have earned large sums of money through auctioning off lands to interested private buyers for very high sums of money in and around Hyderabad city as well as giving permissions for housing layouts on agricultural land. The same is the case with VUDA too (see next chapter of this study for more details).

The actual extent of conversion of agricultural lands to non-agricultural purposes in Urban peripheries is not known since most of the conversion for real estate ventures or housing purposes go unrecorded by the revenue authorities. It was not possible to get accurate data related to the extent of conversions during the course of this study from the concerned revenue division officers. Even where data is available, officials admit that the figures could be underestimates. For example, in Vishakapatnam division, the total number of applications after the new conversion Act came into being in 2006 is only 158, amounting to an area of 878 acres of agricultural land.

According to one estimate, between 2002 and 2007, about 90,000 hectares of agriculture land across 25 mandals in and around Hyderabad has been diverted for real estate and mega-projects. Another 63,000 hectares across 20 mandals of Ranga Reddy district has been lost in the last 10 years. Even at a conservative estimate, some researchers point out that a mind-boggling five lakh hectares of agriculture land has been lost in Andhra Pradesh in recent years (Reddy, V.R. and Suresh Reddy, EPW, 2007).

Evidence from various media reports in the last few years (Times of India, March 2007, Andhra Jyothi, February, 2010) reveal that the revenue recoverable from conversion of farm lands to other purposes from Ranga Reddy alone under the 2006 Act amounted to
over Rs. 2000 crores. Field research as part of this study in Medak district also reveals that the revenueforgone on account of conversion of lands is around 75 crores and that too for a few mandals adjoining Hyderabad. The same is the case in and around Kurnool town too.

In a significant decision, the GOAP passed ‘The A.P. Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Act, 2006 (Act No.3 of 2006) aimed at regulating the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural purposes in the State. This Act, after being passed in the AP Legislative Assembly received the assent of the Governor on 30th December 2005 and came into force on January 2, 2006 through a GO.MS. No 193, published in the AP Gazette for general information. Through this Act, the GOAP also repealed and abolished an earlier Act in the form of ‘The Andhra Pradesh Non-Agricultural Land Assessment Act, 1963 (NALA) by introducing a levy in lump sum at the rate of 10% of the basic value of land in arrears, which the government may fix from time to time, at the time of conversion by undertaking a specific legislation. The Act is actually aimed at facilitating rather than regulating the conversion and diversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural purposes. The 3 of 2006 Act does define the term “non agricultural land” very loosely and broadly to refer to land other than that used exclusively for the purposes of agriculture. Further, section 7 of the Act says that “Nothing in this Act shall apply to “(a) Lands owned by the State Government”, and “(e) Lands used for such other purposes as may be notified by the Government from time to time,”. More importantly, while this Act prescribes rules for conversion of agricultural land for other purposes, levy of conversion fee, penalty, advance notice to competent authority etc, it does not lay down any clear rules/norms for regulating land use, following conversion. This leaves the field wide open to private interests and real estate agents to pursue their own uses on the lands.

In May 2010, the revenue department served notices to the APIIC asking the Corporation to pay an outstanding amount of Rs.1500 crores as conversion fee towards conversion of agricultural lands to non-agricultural purposes. The Corporation is to pay Rs.800 crores for conversion of farm lands in Vishakapatnam and Rs.700 crores in Ranga Reddy district. Similarly, DILL was also asked to pay conversion fees to the towards conversion of farm agricultural lands in Ranga Reddy district.

9. Diversion of Farm lands to Infrastructure, Port and Special Investment Regions

In the Coastal areas, the establishment of SEZs has adversely affected the livelihoods of fishing communities in a big way in Vishakapatnam, East Godavari and Nellore districts. The livelihoods of those dependent on agriculture, fishing as well as salt pans is also seriously at stake here. Specific port based industrial corridor projects such as the development of the Gangavaram port in Vishakapatnam and the Krishnapatnam port in Nellore as well as the Vaderevu and Nizampatanm port and Industrial Corridor project (VANPIC) between Prakasam and Guntur districts have together cost an estimated 35,000 acres of land loss in these districts. Additionally, proposals for initiating other
projects such as the Coastal Industrial Corridor across the 9 coastal district and the Petroleum, Chemical and Petrochemical Investment Region (AP PCPIR), the first phase of which recently received clearance from the Central cabinet in October 2009 are going to cause large scale loss of farm lands and fishing areas in these regions. For example, the development of just the first phase of the AP PCPIR between Vishakhaptnam and Kakinada is projected to be in an area of 604 square kilometers requiring around 1.49 lakh acres of land. The APIIC, which is facilitating this project claims that already 70% of the proposed land area is in its possession through a cluster of SEZs, pharma parks etc located in the earmarked area. The total investment expected is above 3 lakh crores over a period of 7-10 years. As part of developing the first cluster of the AP PCPIR between Vishakapatnam and Kakinada, heavy investments are also going to be made to expand the NH 5 into a six lane road and NH 214 into a 4 lane road for greater connectivity.

The GOAP released a specific GO.373 for the purpose of developing AP PCPIR. The G.O draws its basis from the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (development) Act, 1975 under which Special Urban Development Authorities were to be constituted for certain priority areas towards achieving the dual objectives of orderly growth and environmental conservation in such areas. Due to the PCPIR and other projects project, it is estimated that around 14 lakh fisherfolk would loose their livelihoods. Of the 96 lakh tons of Rice produced in the state, 67 lakh tons come from this region alone. The Coastal Corridor Project will completely erode this Food Basket of the state. Fish production valued at nearly Rs. 6,700 crores comes from the 9 coastal districts. In addition nearly 5, 82,000 tons of fish and prawns are produced along the coast. All this fish produce and economy of 80 lakh fisher folk is going to be seriously threatened due to the above projects.

In AP, a spate of earlier legislations like the AP Land Reforms (Ceiling on agriculture holdings) Act of 1973 had not been properly implemented. As per the Ceiling Act, it was estimated that 16.63 lakh acres of surplus lands would be recovered. However, only for 7,89,911.40 acres, ceiling surplus declarations were made and out of this, 5,82,374.42 acres were distributed to the poor. The remaining 2,07,536.98 acres remains undistributed for various reasons (Land Committee report, GOAP, 2006). In recent times, this legislation has been amended twice to facilitate land transfer to public purposes like industries, infrastructure projects etc.

In the context of expansion of urban areas, the government says that it is not possible to distribute ceiling surplus lands to the poor for cultivation purposes. In 2009, the Congress Government amended the section 14, clause 3 of sub-section 6 of the AP Land Reforms Act for the first time by adding the key words basic infrastructure, development of industries or public purposes in the Act. The first amendment was confined to ceiling surplus lands recovered in the peripheries of urban areas. The Act was amended a second time to be extended to the entire State. Interestingly, the amendment was made in the context of diverting ceiling surplus lands to Krishnapatnam Port where the developers had problems accessing bank loans, given that some parts of the land was ceiling lands. The amendment was brought in to enable the government to sell ceiling surplus lands to industries, instead of distributing them to the land less poor as per the objectives of land reforms.
10. Conversion of Agricultural land to Plantations

Along with diversion of agricultural land, conversion of farm land to plantations in the form of oil palm, bio-fuels, eucalyptus, casurina etc for commercial purposes is also posing serious challenges to land available for food production.

Andhra Pradesh stands 1\textsuperscript{st} in area, production and productivity under Oil Palm. An area of 17,409 hectares has been covered under oil palm during the year 2008-09. Under this project, assistance is being provided towards plant material, cultivation, micro irrigation and inter crops besides organizing training programmes. During 2009-10, an area of 2,551 hectares has been brought under Oil palm cultivation so far. Two oil palm seed gardens were established in the state, one by department and another in private sector. The seeds are produced at these gardens under the technical guidance of National Research Centre (NRC) Oilpalm, Pedavegi Center in West Godavari District and supplied to Oilpalm companies. 12 companies with a processing capacity of 98 MTs per hour are working with the Government under this program. The companies have buy-back arrangements for purchase of Fresh Fruit Bunches (FFB’s) from the farmers in the factory zone at the rate fixed by the Government. In Andhra Pradesh, the following 11 districts have been identified for oil palm cultivation. Srikakulam, Vizianagaram,Visakapatnam, East Godavari, West Godavari, Krishna, Guntur, Prakasam, Nellore, Chittoor and Khammam.

District wise area In Andhra Pradesh as on 31.3.2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Area Covered (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>15246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>5442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>6128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>3432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Prakasham</td>
<td>1,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>1848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>2729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td>5472.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>34593.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>77328</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: www.agriap.nic.in

Districts wise Potential area suitable for oil palm cultivation in Ha.

1. Srikakulam 35,000
2. Vizianagaram 20,000
3. Visakhapatnam 10,000
4. East Godavari 1,00,000
5. West Godavari  1,00,000
6. Krishna        50,000
7. Guntur         20,000
8. Prakasam       10,000
9. Nellore        30,000
10. Khammam       20,000
11. Chittoor       10,000

----------
Total          4,10,000
----------

Under Cashew Plantation in Andhra Pradesh, a total area of 1,66,163 hectares of area is covered as on 2007-08. In North Coastal Andhra, Srikakulam and Vishakapatnam districts have an area of 22355 hectares and 22925 hectares respectively under cashew plantations in 2007-08. In South Coastal Andhra region, West Godavari district had a total area of 40625 hectares under cashew in 2007-08. In Telangana region, Khammam district had an area of 10,405 hectares under cashew plantations.

Evidence from field research show that new forms of land consolidation and land leasing is occurring in parts of Srikakulam district, especially in relation to conversion of agricultural lands and forest lands to oil palm and other plantation crops in recent years. These are explored in greater detail in the next section of this report.

An overview of data on the performance of Agriculture and patterns of land diversion over the last two decades in the State indicates that the impact of these shifts have not been even across the State. The decline in the performance of the agricultural sector along with emerging patterns of land diversion discussed here have been different in various regions and districts across Andhra Pradesh. Based on findings from field research in five sample districts, the specific impacts of these changes over the last two decades along with the impact of policy shifts are discussed in the next section in detail.
4. **Understanding Changing Dimensions of Land Use on Ground:**
   Findings from Study Districts

While there is no accurate estimate of the extent of farm land diverted to various purposes in the State, existing data from various sources clearly indicates that the extent and pace of diversion have been increasing over the last two decades or so. A review of policy changes and overall governance of land in the State during the last two decades also indicates that land diversion has been facilitated due to key shifts in official policies and programmes. Findings from analysis of official data and initial field research in five districts again show the manner in which various policies bear out on ground in terms of their linkage to processes of land diversion and their impacts. The districts selected for primary field research include Ranga Reddy and Medak in Telangan region, Kurnool in Rayalaseem region and Vishakapatnam and Srikakulam in North Coastal Andhra region. Findings emerging from discussions with a cross section of people like officials of various departments, field level organizations, activists and farmers etc are presented here.

I. **Kurnool District**

Kurnool District is located in the west-central part of Andhra Pradesh and lies in the southern banks of the Tungabhadra and Handri rivers. The district was earlier the Capital of Andhra Pradesh State from 1st October 1953 to 1st November, 1956 and at present the headquarters of the district. The name Kurnool is said to have been derived from “Kandanavolu” (Town of grease) since the locals supplied oil for greasing carts here and the town subsequently became Kurnool. The district is now the administrative center and a major market for grain, hides, and cotton. Kurnool covers an area of 17,658 sq km and has a population of approximately 40 lakhs. The district comprises 3 Revenue Divisions – Kurnool, Kallur and Nandyal with 54 Mandals. Apart from Paddy, Groundnut and Bengal Gram are the major crops in Kurnool district.

1. **Understanding Changes in Land Utilization Pattern in the District**

With regard to land utilization patterns, kurnool district shows the highest area put to non-agricultural purposes (LNA) in the Rayalaseema region, with a 37% increase during the period 1990-91 to 2007-08.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LNA</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Jowar</th>
<th>Bengal Gram</th>
<th>Red Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>100808</td>
<td>105344</td>
<td>77318</td>
<td>162061</td>
<td>20019</td>
<td>27275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>100809</td>
<td>146004</td>
<td>73123</td>
<td>141810</td>
<td>28402</td>
<td>20591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>99632</td>
<td>188439</td>
<td>95191</td>
<td>99636</td>
<td>54393</td>
<td>26917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>134230</td>
<td>141956</td>
<td>39584</td>
<td>117600</td>
<td>139705</td>
<td>33577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>138000</td>
<td>129000</td>
<td>93167</td>
<td>73236</td>
<td>217586</td>
<td>33003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data from Kurnool district shows that land under LNA and Current Fallows (CF) has increased during the 18-year period. LNA increased from 1 lakh hectares to 1.38 lakh hectares while land under CF increased from 1.05 lakh hectares to 1.29 lakh hectares during the period.

As the above data and graph clearly indicate, food production patterns have also been changing in the district. Area under paddy remained more or less steady during the 10 years 1990-2000 but registered a fall during the years 2004-05 and then increased again to 93,000 hectares during the years 2007-08. Area under Bengal Gram increased exponentially from 20,000 hectares in 1990 to 2.17 lakh hectares by 2007-08. Area under Red Gram increased marginally while area under Jowar cultivation fell drastically from 1.62 lakh hectares to 73,236 hectares during the above period.

2. Understanding Reasons for Diversion of Farm Land

Discussion with a cross section of people - government officials in various departments, local organizations and farmers in the villages give an insight into various factors leading to diversion of farm land in Kurnool district. Discussions reveal that the three most important causes for diversion of agricultural land include real estate, growth of private educational institutions like engineering, medical colleges and private schools, construction of several warehouses for seed storage followed by land diversion for infrastructure and irrigation purposes. Diversion of agricultural land has been higher in Kurnool and Kallur revenue Divisions. In several villages in these mandals only around 20% of agricultural land is remaining today. Field visits to Joharapuram and Dinnedevarapaadu in Kurnool mandal and Pandipaadu and Peddapaadu in Kallur mandal reflect the above changes clearly. Officials share that the Net Sown Area has been steadily coming down, while there have also been major shifts in cropping patterns in the district, as reflected in the above data.
2.1 Higher Diversion of Farm Land in Kurnool and Kallur Mandals

Mr. Balasubramanyam, Sub-Registrar, Kurnool Mandal shares that within the district, land diversion has been the highest in Kurnool and Kallur mandals. In 35 villages (including revenue and hamlet) of Kallur mandal, large extents of agricultural land have been lost over the last two decades. In Kurnool mandal, land diversion has been highest in villages like Joharapuram, Pasipala, Rudravaram, Dhinnedeverapadu, Taandrapadu-B, Venkayyapally, Sudireddypally, Maasta Masid, Panchalingala, Munagalapadu, Maamidaalapadu, Stantanpuram etc. In most of these villages, only around 20% of agricultural land is remaining today. In Kallur Mandal, agricultural land diversion has been the highest in villages like Pandipadu, Lakshmipuram, Dhoopaadu, Chinna Tekuru, Pedda Tekuru, Vaamasamudram, Tadakanapally, and Peddapaadu.

The process of land diversion began around 1986-87 when real estate business began to pick up in and around the peripheries of Kurnool town and other big municipalities like Nandyal. Some of the big real estate ventures that have come up in the district include Shilpa Real Estates (promoted by the current Minister for Housing in the Congress Government, Mr. Shilpa Mohan Reddy), B.K Singh Ventures, V.V.R. Housing, Maruti Constructions, Raaga Mayuri Ventures (Resorts). Other big promoters of hotels, factories and commercial establishments include the T.G Group owned by Mr. T.G. Venkatesh as well as well known names in the textile/apparel sector such as Chandana Brothers, who have brought over 500 acres of land in Thippaipalli village of Urvakal Mandal.

According to Mr. M. Sundara Rao, Senior Assistant at the Sub-Registrar Office, Kurnool, shares, that beginning from 1999, around 3500 acres of land has been registered for non-agricultural purposes in over 35 villages (excluding wards) in Kurnool and Kallur mandals. According to Mr. Vidyasagar, the deputy Mandal Revenue Officer, Kallur mandal, there are 21 revenue villages and 10 hamlets in Kallur mandal and the total agricultural land in the mandal is around 28,000 acres. Of this, about 1500 acres of land has been diverted for other purposes over the last 20 years. Real estate is a major cause for conversion of agricultural land apart from other infrastructure projects such National Highway (NH-4) for which around 200 acres of land was lost in Kallur mandal along with around 400 acres in Veldurthi and Dhone mandals. However, according to other activists working on the ground, the above figures from various officials could be underestimates.

2.2 Impact of Land Diversion and Conversion on Agriculture

Diversion of agricultural land has been higher in Kurnool and Nandyal Revenue Divisions. The three most important causes for this diversion include real estate, educational institutions like engineering, medical colleges and private schools, construction of several warehouses for seed storage, infrastructure and irrigation purposes, says Mr. S.V. Tulasi Prasad, Deputy Director, Agriculture. Mr. Veera Reddy, Agricultural Officer, Kurnool shares that, “There are 30 warehouses and seed processing
godowns in and around Kurnool and Nandyal. Institutions like NABARD have financed the construction of these structures. Each warehouse has taken up around 2-5 acres of land. Several private engineering colleges and schools have come up in the 10 years, each occupying an area of anywhere between 15-40 acres. In the last 10 years alone, particularly after the Congress Government was elected, around 10 engineering colleges have come up in and around Kurnool Municipality”.

Reflecting on changes in agricultural land use, Mr. Venugopal Rao, Assistant Director, Agriculture, shares, “Over the last decade or so, along with alienation of agricultural land, there is also land consolidation in the hands of a few. One can see a lot of plantation in large areas of land belonging to few NRI’s or other influential people. There is mostly mango, sweet lime etc on these lands. In the year 2004, the government tried to promote bio-fuel plantation in 600 acres of land. The District Watershed Management Agency (DWMA) provided 90% subsidy on drip irrigation on these lands. It was an utter failure though and the scheme was withdrawn within a year. About 10 years ago, a view from the Collectors Office (where the Agriculture Departments office is located) showed paddy lands for several kilometers stretching up to the nearby Joharapuram village. Now there is nothing and all the land has been used up for construction and other purposes. Paddy, Groundnut and Sunflower are the major crops in this district. Now, Bengal Gram is the single most widely grown crop in the district. Around 6.5 lakh hectares of area is under Bengal Gram crop this year. Interestingly, despite crop loss due to floods in the district, the Paddy output has perhaps been the highest ever with a Kharif area of 86,000 hectares and Rabi in 39,000 hectares. Sunflower has been sown in around 80,000-90,000 hectares. There have been fluctuations in the Gross Sown Area over time but the Net Sown Area has been drastically decreasing in the district. There is only 5-10% diversion of land to industries. Some industries like the paper mills started by Mr. T.G.Venkatesh, the A.P Carbide by Mr. Y.S. Rajasekara Reddy closed down after some time. In the Nallamala Forest Region, forest land has been converted to agricultural land by some of the ex-MLA’s”.

Discussions with officials such as Mr. Vinayananda Kumar, Assistant Director in the office of the Chief Planning Officer shows that as on 2007-08, a total area of 1,37,796 acres of land has been put to non-agricultural purposes in the district. This is about 24% of the total geographical area of the district. Land diversion is a direct consequence of lack of irrigation and water facilities for agriculture. In the Nandyal Division, there is better irrigation through the K-C Canal and Telugu Ganga Project. There is a larger area and higher yield in commercial crops like Tobacco, Sunflower, Red Gram, Groundnut etc.

3. **Understanding Farmers perspectives on Diversion of Farm Land**

Field visits to four villages in Kurnool and Kallur mandals and discussions with farmers here helped in understanding the nature and forms of alienation of farm land and its impact on their livelihoods.
3.1 Joharapuram Village, Kurnool Mandal

Joharapuram is a revenue village, located about 1.5 kilometers away from Kurnool town. The village draws its name from Zaheerabee, the daughter of the village. The peculiarity of this village is that the women are well established and therefore most of the men who married them came to settle down in the village, instead of the women going away. The village was earlier located on the banks of Tungabhadra river but moved further away to resettle over a period of time.

Around 20 years ago, the village had 1500 households owning over 2000 acres of land. Farmers also held lands in other neighboring villages such as Pasupala, Rudravaram etc. Agriculture, agricultural labor and livestock rearing formed a major source of livelihood to a majority of the households in the village. A majority of the households were Dalits, followed by Muslims, BC’s and others.

“The process of land diversion actually began in the year 1975 and today over 70% of the agricultural lands have been lost”, says Dasari Haridas, a farmer and a trained lawyer from this village. “Earlier Maize, Bengal Gram, Red Gram, Groundnuts, Cotton, flaxseeds, Tobacco, Turmeric, Castor and several varieties of vegetables such as Tomatoes, Brinjal, Ladies Finger, Dosakai, Onion, Chillies, Bottle Gourd, leafy vegetables etc were grown by the farmers in the village. These were sold in the King market in Kurnool district,” he says. “My father built a house just through sale of Dosakai. He sold 60-70 baskets of the vegetable daily, each priced at Rs.10. He earned enough profit to build a house at Rs.35,000 those days, shares Potual Sekhar, another farmer and social activist shares. Joharapuram was famous for its vegetables in the local market.

Urbanization, growing demand for land for real estate, housing and other commercial purposes led to gradual diversion of agricultural land from this village. Almost all the dry land has been sold out. Today, only 500 acres of wet land, which is irrigated by the K-C Canal is left in the village. With land being sold away, farmers have also sold away livestock. Tractors have taken over bullocks over time. There has been a shift in cropping pattern with paddy replacing most of the earlier crops. Bengal Gram and Ground Nuts are also grown in patches but mostly paddy is grown and the land is left fallow. Farmers do not keep milch animals anymore.

Most of the farmers who earlier owned some land have been migrating to Kurnool town and elsewhere to work as daily wage laborers in construction sites and earn Rs.150/- per day for around 12 hours of work. Availability of work is also not certain or regular and often erratic. Most of the irrigated land left in the village is also leased out to tenant farmers and sharecroppers. During the 1980’s, farmers in Joharapuram sold land for Rs.30,000/- per acre. Today, one cent of the same land costs Rs.2-3 lakhs. Farmers belonging to BC and other upper castes sold their lands and purchased lands in other villages like Hussainapuram, Pasupala, Noothanapalle etc. However, most of the farmers belonging to dalit communities did not buy any land. They sold their lands and used the money to marry off their daughters, to educate their children etc. Many from the
community are today engineers, veterinary doctors, neurosurgeons, scientists, government service etc.

“Caste relations have not changed much in the village, despite the change in economic relations around land over time and the spread of education amongst the younger generation in dalit households,” says Haridas. Some of the caste based practices continue to persist. For example, even today, members of the Dasari community are expected to perform Bajana (a form of singing) in the temple following any body’s death in the village.

Mr. Ramaseshanna, a former Counselor from Joharapuram and a farmer, shares, “all the rain fed lands belonging to around 200 households have been sold away over time. Very few who sold their lands managed to buy lands again. Most of them have turned into wage laborers over time. I sold my 5 acres of land for Rs.30,000 per acre in the year 1983 and then brought lands in Hussainepuram village with the money. Today, the land I sold costs Rs.5 crores per acre. The population in the village has increased over the years, with many new settlements from outside. There are 3500 households in the village. However, the only lands remaining in the village today are those irrigated by the KC Canal. Even here, there has been complete crop loss due to floods last year. No Compensation has been paid for the crop loss yet. Many new housing colonies and real estate ventures have come up on farming lands of this village such as Saraswati Nagar, Vasavi Nagar, Ganesh Nagar, Revenue colony, Rahul Estates, Laxmi Gardens, Dhanalaxmi Nagar, Somisetty Nagar, Motherland, Ram Nagar, Maruti Estates, Maruthi Nagar, Golden Colony, Big City, Chandramouli Nagar, Chandrasekhar Nagar, Sai Nagar etc. Some of the biggest names in the real estate sector here are Mr.B.K.Singh, Maruthi Estates, Mr. K.G.Reddy, Raaga Mayuri, Laxmi Builders, V.V.R etc”.

Over 70 acres of assigned lands belonging to this village has been taken up for the Rajiv Gruha Kalpa housing Phase-2. No compensation has been paid to the land losers for the same on the grounds that the original assignees have sold their lands to others and the occupants had no clear title deeds. These lands were assigned to the landless poor over 50 years ago by the Government.

Basheer Un Bai, Mahboob Basha, Telugu Laxmi Narsamma and Mekala Kistamma are all landless agricultural laborers in Joharapuram. Diversion of agricultural lands has adversely affected their livelihoods over time. “Earlier, there was enough work for all of us in the village. Now, work is uncertain and erratic. Many of us go out to work as laborers in construction sites. Women are mostly taken for doing head loading of sand, bricks, cement and mixing activities. We are paid Rs.150/- for working from 6am to 6 pm. If a couple from the same household get work”, says Basheer Un Bai. Fetching Fuelwood and drinking water are major concerns for women in this village.Given that Joharapuram is located in the peri-urban part of Kurnool Mandal, it is not covered under the NREGS scheme. This means that many agricultural laborers are forced to go out of the village in search of employment and livelihood. Goat and sheep rearers have also sold away their flock over time.
3.2 Dinnedevarapaadu Village – Kurnool Mandal

Dinnedevarapaadu is a village located about 3 kilometers from Kurnool town. The village earlier had around 200 households and a total extent of 1000 acres of land. Most of the land was patta land and some parts surrounding the nearby Jagannadha Hills were government land.

Diversion of farm lands began more than a decade ago. Today, with around 800 households and a population of 3000 people, the village has only 300 acres left. A large extent of over 500 acres of land has been taken over Shilpa Real Estates, a real estate venture promoted by Mr. Shilpa Mohan Reddy, Minister for Housing in the Congress Government. It is also alleged that some additional land (assigned and other government lands) has also been encroached by the above promoter. Around 100 acres of land has been acquired by Raagamayuri Ventures for a Special Economic Zone. This land belongs to both this and the neighboring Lakshmipuram village. About 20 acres of land has been diverted for construction of quarters for the police and grey hounds personnel. The owners of Pulla Reddy Engineering College brought over 50 acres of land and another 20-30 acres were purchased by the owners of a private school. Around 100-150 acres of land has been diverted for setting up some molding and casting factory units.

Earlier, farmers in the village cultivated Maize, Bengal Gram, Ground nuts, Bajra, foxtailed millets, castor Tobacco etc. Only one crop was possible since the village did not have any irrigation facilities. Most of the produce was kept for consumption and groundnuts and tobacco was sold in the market.

One of the earliest factories to be set up in the district was the AP Carbide factory, which was inaugurated by the late Chief Minister of the State, Mr. Bavanam Venkat Ram in this village during the 1970’s. Around 18 acres of farmland was taken up for the factory. Around 50 people from the village got employment in this factory. Due to default in payment of electricity bills, the factory was closed down for a period of 10 years (1984-94). The factory was brought over by Mr. Y.S. Rajasekhara Reddy, the late Chief Minister of AP, who then re-opened the factory. Children of those workers who had earlier worked in the factory and died received employment in the same.

The entire 500 acres of land taken over by the Shilpa Real Estates has been left fallow. The Company brought these lands over 10 years ago at the rate of Rs.2.10 lakhs per acre and sold each plot (measuring between 200 to 1500 square yards) up to Rs.4 lakhs. Except two persons from the village, most of the buyers of these plots are from Kurnool. Today, each acre costs Rs. 1 crore. Some of the farmers who sold their lands managed to buy some land in other villages where they had relatives. Many others spent their money and work as laborers in Kurnool town to earn their livelihood. While the Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme is operational in this village, people say that only 50-60 days of work is available to them and the wages paid are also vary between Rs.75 –80, below the mandated Rs.100 per day.
The diversion of farmland to other purposes has also led to a gradual decimation of other inter-dependent livelihoods. Kammari Ramachary, a bullock cart maker in the village reflects on these changes, “Earlier, this village had around 120 pairs of bullocks. All farming was done only through bullocks and there were no tractors. There were 5 households in this village that made and repaired bullock carts and ploughs. We had regular work since repair of cart and plough is frequent on these drylands. Today, this village has only 20 pairs of bullocks left. Tractors took over some time ago. There are no cows and buffaloes and no dung is used in farming. There were 25 people in my family and we all survived on the grain given generously to us in return for making and repairing carts and ploughs. Earlier, there was the system of ‘Aakam’, where a fixed quantity of grain was given to people of different castes for providing various services. Along with us, the kummarolu and Erukulolu (pot makers and basket weavers) received Aakam but now they are all gone. Only, the chaakali’s (washermen) are left today. In my family, this occupation will also end with my time. My son is not interested in continuing this work since it is not remunerative any more. Also, the wooden wheel is today replaced by rubber and there is less work”.

Golla Naganna, who was earlier a farmer and livestock owner in this village, shares his experiences. “I sold 7.5 acres of my land to the Pulla Reddy Engineering College for Rs.50,000. This was in the year 1984-85. I did not buy any land anywhere. I have been working as a laborer on Ramachandra Reddy’s farm for a monthly amount of Rs.1500/- (Nela Jeetham). His family earlier owned 100 acres. They sold some of the land to Shilpa. They now have 33 acres on which Groundnuts, Jowar, Sunflower, etc are grown. Pinjari Moula Ali, a tenant farmer in the village shares, “I had 10 acres of land and I sold it to Shilpa Estates for Rs.1.75 lakhs per acre. Now, I have leased out 5 acres of land where I grow castor, jowar, Bengal gram and Groundnuts. I sold away my lands to clear my debts. I also purchased land in Chinnapaadu in Mahboobnagar. Many farmers from here have brought lands there, almost 500 acres. I have brought 7 acres there. I lease out the 5 acres here for an annual rent of Rs.750/- from a Kapu household. Earlier, Jowar was a major crop in this village. The yield was good, around 7-10 quintals per acre. Now, Bengal Gram (Chenega) is widely cultivated. There are also more agricultural laborers in the village than farmers owning land. Women are paid Rs.50/- per day and men are paid Rs.100/-.

Diversion of farm land has also changed the character of Dinnedevarapaadu village in many ways. Many farmers from the village who sold away their lands have migrated out or work as daily wage laborers in Kurnool and other places. There has also been a fair amount of in-migration into the village, given its proximity to Kurnool town. Today, more than 50% of the population of this village comprises of people from outside, since rents are cheaper here and work is available close by in the town.
3.3 Pandipaadu Village, Kallur Mandal

Pandipaadu village in Kallur mandal comprises of roughly 400 households, mostly belonging to Kamma, Kapu, Boya, Muslim and Dalit communities. The village earlier had a total extent of 1600 acres of land. Jowar was a major crop and was also widely consumed. Ground Nuts, Korra, Munagaara Patti (a variety of Cotton) were also cultivated and farmers marketed their surplus in the Kurnool market. Everybody had adequate land to farm and one family of Maddiletti Reddy alone had over 300 acres of land. The establishment of industrial estates, factories and real estate has led to diversion of agricultural land over time. Today, the village has less than 800 acres of land. The village population has meanwhile grown from 150 households in the past to 400 households today.

Diversion of farm land began with the establishment of industrial estates during the 1970’s in this village in 500 acres of land. Later, over 100 acres of land was diverted for setting up iron, power and granite factories etc. Gradually, Real estate also grew and over 300 acres of land was lost. “Diversion of farm land began in a big way here during the 1980’s period”, says Gummala Ayyappa Reddy, an old farmer in this village. “Farmers were offered around 3.5 lakhs per acre by the power factory owners. For farm lands purchased prior to that for other industries, the farmers were paid anywhere between Rs.20,000 to Rs.2 lakhs. One of the farmers recently sold his lands for Rs.47.5 lakhs per acre”, says Ismail, another farmer in the village.

When the iron factory was going to be set up, people in Pandipaadu were promised jobs. Farmers were also persuaded to sell their lands, lured by the promise of jobs for their children. Later, when the factory was started, most of the skilled workers who were provided employment were from West Bengal. Most of the employment provided to people from the village included Hamaali (loading and unloading work) and other semi-skilled labor work in the factory. “The iron factory owners also did not tell us about pollution from the factory due to release of smoke and other particles into the environment. Due to pollution, farming has been adversely affected in the neighboring villages such as Aswathapuram and Laxmipuram. When people in these village and ours complained of pollution, they were all provided some work in the factory as compensation,” adds Ismail.

A large number of people in the village depend on the NREGS for wage labor. But there is not enough work as compared to the demand. Wages paid are also poor, in the range of Rs.70-80 per day. Cropping pattern in Pandipaadu has also undergone a change. Paddy cultivation has replaced Jowar over time, since it is consumed more widely and has a market. Sunflower, Castor, Tobacco, Ground Nuts, Bengal Gram, Red Gram are also grown in the village. According to Mr. Murali, a local NGO staff, Pandipaadu was one of the earliest villages to be selected for the BT Brinjal trials in the Year 2006-07. The farmers in the village were unaware that it was a BT variety of seed. The trial was later abandoned.
3.4 Peddapaadu Village, Kallur Mandal

Peddapaadu is a large village in Kallur Mandal, comprising of roughly 3500-4000 households. The village has around 2000 acres of farm land of which, 1800 acres is patta land and 200 acres is Poramboke land. Around 150 farmers from Peddapaadu sold lands owned by them in Kallur Pulimera. Land value has gone up to 50 lakhs per acre. One cent of land today costs around Rs.1 lakh, says Yella Reddy, a rich farmer in the village who earlier owned 600 acres of land. He now owns 300 acres after surrendering some of his land under the ceiling law. Reflecting on the changing nature of land holdings he says, “Today, if one owns more land the government is taking it away. But if one owns less land too, it is taken away for various projects on the basis that small farms are not viable, especially in dry land areas. Even in rain fed areas, farming is profitable. Farmers make at least Rs.3000-4000 per acre as profit”.

Boom in real estate, public purpose housing projects such as Raviv Gruha Kalpa etc are the major reasons for land diversion in this village. People in the village began selling their farm lands to meet their personal expenses such as marriage or health emergencies. Around 20 years ago, sale of one acre of farm land fetched a farmer Rs.2000, but the same land today costs Rs.40-50 lakhs per acre.

II. Vishakapatnam District

Vishakapatnam is one of the North Eastern Coastal Districts of Andhra Pradesh. The district has two distinct geographic divisions. The strip of land along the coast and the interior called the plains division and hilly areas of the Eastern Ghats flanking it on the North and the West called the Agency Division. Administratively, the district is divided into 3 Revenue Divisions namely Narsipatnam, Paderu, and Vishakapatnam divisions and has 43 mandals. The total geographical area of the district is 11.16 lakh hectares. As per the 2001 census, the district has a population of 38.32 lakh persons. Agriculture is the main stay of nearly 70% of the households. Paddy is the principal crop of the district followed by Ragi, Bajra and Jowar and cash crops include Sugar Cane, Groundnut, Sesame, Niger and Chillies. There are no major irrigation systems in the district and only 36% of the cropped area is under the Ayacut of Medium irrigation systems and Minor Irrigation Tanks. A large part of agriculture is rain fed in the district.

1. Understanding Changes in Land Utilization Patterns in the District

Analysis of data shows that next to Srikakulam district, Vishakapatnam district has witnessed the highest extent of land put to non-agricultural purposes in the North Coastal Andhra region during the period 1990-91 to 2007-08. There has been a 20% increase during this period in the extent of LNA.
Land Utilization Patterns and Crop Area in Vishakapatnam District 1990-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LNA</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Ragi</th>
<th>Bajra</th>
<th>Jowar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>85944</td>
<td>12048</td>
<td>108517</td>
<td>38924</td>
<td>41207</td>
<td>5911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>86214</td>
<td>27239</td>
<td>97267</td>
<td>38182</td>
<td>23298</td>
<td>3164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>85501</td>
<td>44380</td>
<td>118674</td>
<td>35414</td>
<td>25033</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>101048</td>
<td>54977</td>
<td>88637</td>
<td>33673</td>
<td>17771</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>103000</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>95747</td>
<td>29423</td>
<td>8197</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Vishakapatnam shows that both LNA and area under CF have increased during the 18-year period. The extent of area put to LNA increased from 85,944 hectares to 1.03 lakh hectares during this period while land under CF increased from 12,000 hectares to 63,000 hectares. Land under paddy cultivation increased marginally from 1.08 lakh hectares to 1.18 lakh hectares during the decade 1990-00 and fell to 95,747 hectares in 2007-08. Cultivation of Ragi area fell from 38,924 hectares to 29,423 hectares during the period whereas area under Bajra cultivation fell drastically from 41,000 hectares to 8000 hectares. Cultivation of area under Jowar remained more or less constant during this period.

2. Key factors for Diversion of Farm Land: Industries and Real Estate

Mr. Prabhakar Rao, Revenue Divisional Officer, RDO, Vishakapatnam shares that Vishakapatnam district has a total number of 43 mandals and 3 revenue divisions which include Paderu, Narasipatnam and Vishakapatnam. The major factors responsible for diversion of farm land are industries in the form of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), IT and Pharma Parks and creation of infrastructure such as power plants in the vicinity, followed by a boom in real estate activities. Diversion of agricultural lands has perhaps been highest in Vishakapatnam division in the last 10 years. Land diversion has been higher in 8-9 mandals in this division. These include Vishakapatnam Urban, Anandapuram, Bhimili, Paravada, Pendurthi, Sabbavaram, Peddagantyada and Anakapalli in the Vishakapatnam revenue division. In the Narsipatnam revenue division,
the diversion is higher in Achutapuram, Rambilli, Maakavarapalem, Payakaraopeta and Nakkapalli mandals where land has been diverted to several industries and Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the last 10 years. All these mandals are located on the National Highway-5 and are close to the sea coast.

The pace of farm land diversion for other purposes has been faster since the early 2000. Close to 20,000 acres of farm land has been diverted in the above mandals, largely for SEZs being developed by the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation (APIIC) and other private companies. These include the APIIC multi product SEZ in Achutapuram and Rambilli, Pharmaceutical SEZs by companies like Divi’s in Chippada, Hetero Drugs SEZ in Nakkapalli, and Deccan Chemicals, Jawaharlal Nehru Pharma City being developed by Ramky Developers in Parawada mandal etc. Beach sand mining companies have also been set up such as Beach Mineral Company (BMC) to which farm and fishing ponds have been alienated. Around 2000 acres of land was allotted to public sector companies like the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) for establishing their refinery pants, 4000 acres to National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC).

Along with industries and SEZs, real estate activities have taken off in a big way in the surrounding areas of Vishakapatnam City. The period 2003-08 was a boom period for real estate. Because of economic recession, the pace of real estate slowed down in 2009. Several real estate ventures and layouts have been left vacant. In Rishikonda and Madhurawada, many ventures that were initiated for promoting gated communities have not yet been occupied. In Anandapuram which close to Vishakapatnam town, land value went up from Rs.30,000 per acre in 2003 to Rs. 30 lakhs per acre in 2005-06 and further to Rs. 2 crores per acre in the year 2008.

A consolidated statement from the office of the RDO related to total number of applications for conversion of agricultural land to other purposes, extent of area and conversion fee collections for 13 mandals under the Vishakapatnam Revenue Division, for the year 2008 and 2009 are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total No. of Applications received</th>
<th>Extent in Acres. Cents</th>
<th>Total Collections (In Rupees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>699.841</td>
<td>4, 67, 84, 688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>178.24</td>
<td>1,51, 76, 663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 117 conversion applications received in the year 2008, the largest number of applications amounting to roughly 181 acres was received from 34 applicants in Bheemunipatnam mandal, followed by 31 applications in Padmanabham and 24 applications in Munagapaka mandals. Similarly, out of 41 applications received in 2009, 8 applications were received from Anandapuram, 8 from Bheemunipatnam and 8 from
Parawada respectively followed by 6 applications for conversion from Kasimkota and 6 from Sabbavaram mandals. The fall in real estate activity can also be inferred from the decline in applications for conversions from the year 2008 to 2009. The above data is further reinforced by Mr. D. Venkateshwar Rao, Special Officer, Urban Land Ceiling who says, “The period 2006-08 was a boom for real estate activity in and around Vishakapatnam and several other places along the coast and National Highway. There is very little land left for anything now”. Mr. Sudhakar, Superintendent, Urban Land Ceiling Office shares, “in the year 1986, around 11 mandals in the Vishakapatnam revenue division were notified as urbanized mandals and a notification was issued to stop all new land assignments in these mandals”.

“A large percentage of lands diverted to industries and real estate are however saline, sandy soils without any irrigation facilities. More than food crops, plantation crops like cashew, casurina etc are grown and they are mostly rainfed. In Narsipatnam mandal, even before the diversion of lands to industries began, lands have been converted to cashew and other plantation crops. On irrigated lands, there is no change in cropping pattern. Paddy and sugar cane are the major crops. A large percentage of agricultural lands were converted to non-agricultural purposes before the new Agricultural Land (Conversion to non-agricultural purposes) Act, 2006 came into being. This Act began to be applicable from 2007. Earlier, the permission for layouts was given by the Vishakapatnam Urban Development Authority (VUDA) and not the Revenue Divisional Office”, shares Mr. Prabhakar Rao.

Diversion of farm land to industries and several public purpose projects is again reinforced through discussions with officials in the “G-Section” of the Joint Collector’s Office, which is involved in land acquisition for various projects. Discussions here revealed that most of the land acquisition had happened prior to 2007-08. Some of the major projects for which land acquisition has happened include 1200 acres for the Naval Operating Base, 1300 acres for the Baba Atomic Research Center, 9800 acres for the APIIC developed SEZ and 1899 acres for ANRAC Alumina SEZ in Maakavaaripalem mandal, 4000 acres for the National Thermal Power Corporation in Parawada etc. After 2008, all land purchase for various projects was being done directly by the buyers, following the Central Governments directive to States that 70% of land for any project must be acquired by the buyers themselves without State intervention. The remaining 30% land can be acquired by the State.

3. Impact of Land Diversion on Agriculture and Crop Production

Discussions with officials in the Agriculture Department again reinforce the data presented earlier related to the negative impact of land diversion on agriculture in various parts of the district. According to Mr. P. Bhadrachalam, Joint Director (Agriculture), Vishakapatnam, “Agro-ecologically, all the three revenue divisions in Vishakapatnam District are different. Paderu division is hilly, largely comprising of adivasi population. A major part of Vishakapatnam division is fully industrialized and agricultural land has been diverted for the same as well as urbanization needs. Narsipatnam division offers some scope for agriculture. A peculiarity of this district is that it receives 1200 mm of
rainfall annually. But there is no major source of irrigation. Almost 80% of agriculture is rainfed. Paddy and sugar cane are the major crops and they are rainfed too. Sharada, Varaha and Rayawada reservoirs support irrigation in some parts. Around 35,652 hectares of area are irrigated by canals, 31,438 through tanks, 14,213 through wells and 18,041 through bore wells. Kasimkota, Munagapaaka, Chodavaram and Anakapalli mandals are high potential mandals in Vishakapatnam division for agriculture. But even here, the cropping shift has been towards plantation crops that began almost 10 years ago. From cultivating irrigated dry crops such as pulses, millets, ground nuts, maize, sesame etc., farmers have shifted to horticulture crops and cashew, casurina etc. The increase in land value due to industrialization and real estate has also influenced this conversion in cropping patterns. In the last five years, farmers have reverted back to cultivating Maize for poultry feed from casurina. The area under maize has increased from 1500 hectares to 7000 hectares during this time. Our department had supplied Red Gram Seed as subsidy for enhancing production this season (2009-10). But there is a fall in production due to monsoon failure. Overall, erratic rainfall and land diversion are the major causes impacting food production in this district. Urbanization and industrialization are increasing. There has been a gradual decline in the Net Sown Area (NSA)”.

Mr. Muralikrishna, Statistical Officer and Mr. Krishna Rao, Deputy Statistical Officer further shared that diversion of farm land to other purposes has adversely impacted cultivation of different kinds of farm produce in different places. Paddy, coconut and sugar cane are major crops in S. Rayvaram mandal. Vegetable cultivation is high in Achutaapuram mandal. Vegetables such as chillies, brinjal, ladies finger, beans, tomatoes etc are grown and supplied to various markets from Sabbavaram, Haripaaka, Chodavaram, Kasimkota, K. Kothapaadu, Devarapalli etc. Anandapuram is popular for flower cultivation. All types of flowers were grown here before land began to be diverted to SEZs, Pharma industries and expansion of ports etc.

4. Understanding Processes of Land Diversion – Perspectives from Local Activists and Farmers

Sheikh Ismail, an activist and Secretary, Sadhana (NGO), Parawada Mandal working in the district for several years shares his experiences. “Our fight against land acquisition for projects began in 1995 when the government proposed to acquire 4000 acres of lands for setting up the National Thermal Power Plant (NTPC) in Parawada mandal. Around 1950 acres of these lands were patta lands and the remaining were government lands. Despite resistance from farmers, the government went ahead and acquired these lands from farmers and the acquisition was complete by the year 2000. In the year 1999-2000, the Telugu Desam Government proposed to establish a Pharma City project in Parawada in an area covering 3000 acres. Farmers were lured into believing that the compensation of Rs.2.25 lakhs being offered per acre was a high amount as compared to prevailing market rates at that time. The lands were all acquired and then handed over to Ramky Company for developing the Pharma City. Ramky developers sold these lands after developing it to various other companies for Rs.50-60 lakhs per acre. The same land is valued at Rs.60 lakhs per acre. The primary
reason for locating these projects in Parawada is because land and labor are cheap and there is good connectivity in terms of transport and communication. In recent times, farmers have been agitating against diversion of their lands for another thermal power plant being promoted by the Hinduja’s, close to the NTPC thermal power plant. Around 2500 acres of lands are proposed to be diverted to the Hinduja’s in Pittavaanipalem, Palavalasa and Devara villages. Farmers are contending that these are Inam lands given to them in the past whereas the Government is claiming that it is Waqf board lands. Along with the above industrial and infrastructure development projects, real estate boom is another major cause for farm land diversion, especially during the period 1999-2006. Some of the major investors in real estate are from Chennai, Nellore and Hyderabad. The IT boom during the 2006-08 periods is also responsible for farm land diversion, especially in Madhurawada and Anandapuram areas in and around Vishakapatnam city. In the year 2002, the Urban Agglomeration Bill was introduced which vested all authority to regulate sale and purchase of lands in the hands of the Vishakapatnam Urban Development Authority (VUDA). The panchayats do not have any authority on this issue. As per the bill, all local bodies are to seek the permission of VUDA for any conversion, diversion, sale or purchase of lands. This bill expanded the powers of VUDA and led to permissions being given for several layouts by the authorities, especially for real estate ventures. In the tribal areas of the district like Paderu division, mining leases for Bauxite extraction in several hills in Anantagiri, Siluru, Araku and Chintapalli regions have destroyed agriculture in a big way. Lands have also been acquired for a 4-lane road from Chintapalli to Maakavaripalem via Narsipatnam. In Maakavaripalem, around 2000 acres of land have been diverted for the Anrac Alumina SEZ in 2008”.

Discussions with women in several villages around the NTPC plant like Daliapalem, Barinikam, Narsapuram, Gorusuvaanipalem, Pandivaanipalem, Kalapaaka, Pittavaanipalem and Mutyampalem, close to the sea shore in Parawada mandal revealed heavy pollution of water and the environment after the plant was initiated. While land diversion to the thermal power project has adversely impacted agriculture in these villages, productivity and crop output on existing lands was also adversely affected due to pollution of ground water in almost all the tanks, borewells and pipelines owing to the fly ash being released from the plant. Cultivation of paddy, bajra, ragi, red gram, green gram and several varieties of vegetables has been affected due to pollution from plant. Fish production has also been affected in sea shore villages like Muthyampalem. There were also incidents of calf dying due to drinking polluted water. Health issues were rampant, especially amongst pregnant women where miscarriages were reported to be more frequent. Young children also complained of joint pains and a host of health problems in these villages.

4.1 Experiences of Land Diversion to ANRAC Alumina, a Special Economic Zone

Field visits to villages like Raachapalli, Kothapalem and Erkanapalem in Maakavaripalem Mandal clearly points to the politics of land diversion for projects like SEZs and the adverse impact of the same on agriculture and people livelihoods. Anrac Alumina began acquiring lands for setting up a bauxite refinery based SEZ in 2008. Around 2000 acres of land was acquired in 8 panchayat villages for the SEZ. A major
part of these lands are patta lands. These include Raachapalli, Kothapalem, G. Venkatapuram, Subadrpalem (S.B.Palem), Koduru, Ramannapalem, Venkiahpalem and Taamaram panchayats. In Kothapalem and G.Venkatapuram panchayats, all hamlets are to be completely displaced from their lands and houses for this project.

The promoters of the SEZ promised 2 cents of land for building houses for all the displaced households along with Rs.30,000 as loan for building houses and Rs.70,000 for buying livestock. The lives and livelihoods of around 1500 households have been affected in the 8 panchayats due to this project. An amount of Rs.4 lakh per acre was paid as compensation to families who lost land. With land value going up in all the surrounding villages, very few farmers have been able to buy any alternative farm land. Most of the households are small and marginal farmers, who also worked as agricultural laborers to supplement their incomes. Prior to land acquisition, a variety of crops like paddy, sugar cane, red gram, several varieties of pulses and cereals, vegetables such as ribbed gourd, beans, tomatoes, brinjals, chillies, ginger etc were cultivated on these lands by these farmers. In Raachapalli Panchayat, along with farm lands, 7 out of 9 irrigation and other multi-purpose tanks have also been alienated to the SEZ.

In kothapalem panchayat, around 225 households have lost about 100 acres of their farmland to the SEZ. Most of them are again small and marginal farmers. Paddy, sugar cane, ragi, bajra, sesame, chillies, tomatoes etc were cultivated by the farmers. Yaka Kanniamma, a farmer says, “Earlier, we produced everything. But now, it is all gone and we will have to buy our food”. The village also lost 4 tanks to the SEZ. The tanks were a major source of irrigation earlier and investments had been made through the NREGS towards repair and strengthening of bund around the tanks. Meanwhile, the farmers are still waiting for compensation from the government towards loss of trees, wells and bore wells on their farms on which they had made personal investments over the years for repair and maintenance.

5. The Andhra Pradesh Petroleum, Chemicals and Petro-Chemical Investment Region (AP PCPIR) : An impending threat to Agriculture and Fishing

The PCPIR is an initiative by the Government of India (GOI) unveiled in May 2007 which is aimed at encouraging the setting up of integrated petroleum, chemicals and petrochemical hubs in the country with each project expected to attract around $8.5 billion in investment. The PCPIR is a specially delineated region, aimed at attracting projects in oil refining, fertilizers, chemicals, crackers and pharmaceuticals and infrastructure for the same along with promoting investments in these sectors to make the country an important hub for domestic and international markets.

On May 26th 2008, the GOAP officially released the GO.MS.373 for the Development of Petroleum, Chemical and Petrochemical Investment Region (PCPIR) and Constitution of Visakhatpatnam – Kakinada Petroleum, Chemical and Petrochemical Investment Region (PCPIR) Special Development Authority. The APIIC is designated as the nodal agency for developing the AP PCPIR. The GO.373 draws its basis from the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (development) Act, 1975 under which Special Urban Development Authorities were to
be constituted for certain priority areas towards achieving the dual objectives of orderly
growth and environmental conservation in such areas.

The GOAP formally signed an MOU related to the AP PCPIR with the Union Ministry of
Petroleum and Chemicals. The cost of total infrastructure for the project is approved at Rs. 19,031 crores. As part of this agreement, the Center will provide assistance to the tune of Rs.6334 crores while the GOAP will invest Rs.2132 crores towards providing power and water facilities for the project. The total investment expected is above 3 lakh crores over a period of 7-10 years. As part of developing the first cluster of the AP PCPIR between Vishakapatnam and Kakinada, heavy investments are also going to be made to expand the NH 5 into a six lane road and NH 214 into a 4 lane road for greater connectivity.

The PCPIR is visualized as a cluster of SEZs, Industrial Parks, FTW Zones, Export Oriented Units and Growth Centers. The Anchor Tenant will be Refinery / Petrochemical Feedstock Companies. According to the data from the APIIC, the projected land availability for the PCPIR is an estimated 60,358 hectares or 1,49,146 acres. Of this, the land required for processing Area (45%) would be around 269.44 sq.km or 26,944 hectares (66,580 acres). Both East Godavari and Vishakapatnam are the key rice and fish producing districts in the State. The PCPIR project will adversely affect the production of rice, milk and seafood in the region in a big way.

III. Srikakulam District

Srikakulam district is the extreme Northeastern district of Andhra Pradesh, bordering Orissa on its northern side. The total area of the district is 5837 sq.kms. The district has a population of 25.37 lakh persons. The district has 3 broad revenue divisions – Srikakulam, Paalakonda consisting largely of tribal population and Tekkali Tekkali consisting of both tribal and sub-plan regions and a total number of 38 mandals. Agriculture is the principal source of livelihood for the people and some of the major crops in the district include Paddy, Ragi, Bajra, pulses like Green Gram, Black Gram and Red Gram and oilseeds like Groundnut. Jute is also a major crop in the district. The district has several major and medium irrigation systems like Kalingadala, Dabarsingi, Bondigedda, and Gajjiligedda reservoirs, Thotapalli regulator, Narayanapuram Anicut, Pydigam Project, Vamsadhara Phase I and II and the Madduvalasa reservoir project. A large part of agriculture however continues to dependent on rainfall.

1. Understanding Changes in Land Utilization Patterns in the District

Analysis of data from Srikakulam district reveals that land put to non-agricultural purposes increased from 74,453 hectares to 99,000 hectares (33%) during the 18-year period. Land under current fallows increased significantly from 22,000 hectares in 1990 to 56,845 during 2004-05 and then fell steeply to 10,000 hectares during the year 2007-08.
Land Utilization Patterns and Crop Area in Srikakulam District 1990-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LNA</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Ragi</th>
<th>Black Gram</th>
<th>Green Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>74453</td>
<td>22801</td>
<td>214568</td>
<td>18402</td>
<td>25640</td>
<td>19401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>76298</td>
<td>34686</td>
<td>192575</td>
<td>12884</td>
<td>40993</td>
<td>29316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>89782</td>
<td>51734</td>
<td>196395</td>
<td>5998</td>
<td>34959</td>
<td>24484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>90095</td>
<td>56845</td>
<td>190423</td>
<td>3132</td>
<td>41092</td>
<td>33165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>99000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>197419</td>
<td>3270</td>
<td>43287</td>
<td>34668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data and graph above shows that area under paddy cultivation registered a marginal decrease from 2.14 lakh hectares to 1.97 lakh hectares during the 18-year period while area under Ragi cultivation fell steeply from over 18,000 hectares to around 3,000 hectares in the same period. Area under both green gram and black gram rose significantly during this period.

2. **Factors Causing Diversion of Agricultural Land**

Discussions with a cross section of people, officials and organizations in the district shows that the major causes for diversion of agricultural land are irrigation and infrastructure projects such as the expansion of highways, followed by construction of professional engineering colleges, private schools and expansion of real estate. Along with land diversion, conversion of agricultural land into plantations for producing timber, paper, casurina, eucalyptus etc is an emerging challenge, especially with new forms of land leasing and contracts taking place through in-migration of farmers from South Coastal Andhra region in the district.

2.1 **Land Diversion and Conversion – New Challenges to Agriculture**

Reflecting on some of the key factors causing diversion and conversion of agricultural lands, Mr. Sanjeeva Rao, an activist and Secretary of Velugu Association, an NGO working in Kothuru Mandal shares, “There have been many struggles for
implementation of the 9/77 Act aimed at prevention of assignment lands from being alienated from the poor. But despite these efforts, a lot of land has been alienated from the poor. Several farmers with capital have migrated in from East and West Godavari districts and they have brought very fertile, virgin lands here. Initially, these lands are leased out for a fixed period of time for growing commercial crops like cotton, palm oil, casurina or eucalyptus while those owning the lands work on their own farm as laborers. Gradually, the land is brought over. It is not very difficult to buy land in several mandals where there is a mix of tribal and sub-plan areas. In Seethampeta mandal, only 16 out of 24 panchayats are under the Vth Schedule and the remaining are in the sub-plan region. It is so easy for outsiders to exploit or lure the poor, tribal farmers here into leasing and later selling their lands. In Kesarjodu panchayat for instance, lands leased around 1993 have later been brought by outsiders. The same is the case in Pootukavalasa, Chinnabagga, Marripaadu, Pulikutty panchayats where there is purchase of lands. In Bamini and Singadi mandals, large extent of land has been leased to outsiders. In Chinnadimmidi, Ligari, Anantagiri and Satevaada, around 280 acres of farm land where Red Gram, Horse Gram, short duration rain fed paddy etc was grown has been handed over to the Max Worth Company for planting timber. The company has in turn leased out the lands to other private parties. Lands where Red Gram and Jute were earlier cultivated were all converted to Cotton by local farmers, influenced by outsiders. In Lokunda panchayat of Hiremandalam mandal, an ex-MLA has brought 400 acres of land and using it for palm oil cultivation. Government subsidies are also being provided for this through the horticulture department. Palm oil cultivation is alien to this district. It was started in Prakasam district and then farmers from there introduced it here. In Santhabommali mandal, the East Coast Thermal power plant is being set on fishing lands given by the government on lease to the fisher folk communities in the area in 1938. Government agencies set up to promote fisheries development like the Marine Fisheries Development Agency and Inland Fisheries Development Authority are about to close down.

Mr. Sanjeeva Rao further shares that irrigation projects have led to greater loss of farm area and livelihoods than promoting agriculture and food production in the district. There is no accurate assessment of net loss while calculating net gains through these projects. “Under the Vamsadhara Phase-II project involving construction of canal network and 3 balancing reservoirs, over 10,000 acres of farm land has been lost and 18 villages in Hiremandalam and Kothuru mandals face the threat of displacement. These are lands where paddy, vegetables, cashew and mango were cultivated. More over, the link between several local tanks and feeder channels has been severed due to the construction of canal network under the above project. In Kothuru and Bamini mandals, around 1800 acres of land under paddy and black gram is adversely affected due to water coming from the tanks (Oora Cheruvu) being cut off. With the initiation of Vamsadhara Phase-II, land value has gone up to Rs.10 lakhs per acre in the surrounding villages. In-migration of outsiders from other districts here has led to increased land value and real estate activities. One acre of land that was valued at Rs.3000 in some of the interior villages around 20 years ago is today costing close to Rs.90,000 per acre. Today, land as a source of livelihood has been converted into a commodity for sale. Bauxite mining in Lanjigarh in Orissa, falling in the Vamsadhara
catchment area will lead to both Vamsadhara and Naagavalli rivers going dry in the next 30 years”.

Mr. Sanyasi Rao, Secretary, ARTS (NGO)

“There is a definite shift in cropping patterns in various parts of Srikakulam district. This is especially in tribal and sub-plan areas where land which was earlier under food crops like millets and cereals is being converted into plantation crops through land leasing by migrant farmers from other districts who are coming here with capital to encourage plantations. Most of those leasing land are from Krishna, Guntur, East Godavari and West Godavari districts. Land is leased out through formal and informal contracts for raising palm oil, cashew, banana, casurina etc. This is happening in Seethampeta, Hiremandalam, Kothuru, Veeraghattam, Buruji mandals. Land leasing is also done for plantation by companies like J.K. Paper Mills, Andhra Paper Mills, Rajamundry and Jeypore Paper Mills, Rayagada, Orissa. Cloned Eucalyptus plantations are supplied along with all other inputs by the companies along with by-back contracts. According to a study by ActionAid and Laya on displacement related issues, it is estimated that around 2.09 lakh acres of land in Srikakulam, Vishakapatnam and Vizianagaram has been diverted to irrigation, mining, granite and stone quarrying, industries and infrastructure projects in the last 10 years. There are also estimates that around 2.83 lakh hectares of land has been lost on the coastal side in the North Coastal Andhra district to various projects. Real estate is growing at a fast pace. Around 2000 acres of land which was once farm land, has been converted into housing plots and real estate ventures in and around Srikakulam town. Professional educational institutions and schools are also buying large extents of land like Shivani Engineering College, which has taken 100 acres, K.H.K. School, medical colleges etc. Around 5 Thermal power plants and a nuclear plant are being planned in the district which will directly and indirectly destroy over 10,000 acres of land on the coasts in Sompeta, Santhabommalai mandals along with a proposed nuclear power plant in Kovvada in this district.”

3. Land Diversion to Irrigation Projects

Experiences of local organizations which have been working on issues related to irrigation-induced displacement in the district shows that while there has been a greater loss of farm lands and livelihoods due to these projects, the benefits in terms of larger ayacut under irrigation have been very low.

Mr. Yendiah and other tribal activists of the Adivasi Vikasa Parishad who are working on land alienation and displacement issues shared that the construction of an off shore reservoir across Mahendratanaya river was going to lead to loss of around 25,000 acres of farm land in 22 villages in Meliaputty, Nandigam, Tekkali and Palasa mandals. The off shore reservoir with an outlay of roughly Rs. 125 crores is one of the first projects to be sanctioned under the Congress Governments’ ambitious ‘Jalayagnam’ programme. The late Chief Minister Mr. Y.S. Rajasekhar Reddy laid the foundation
stone to this project near Dasapuram village. After the initial canal construction began, work on the project has been stopped because of lack of financial resources and the construction equipment has also been sent back. About 60% of the land acquired for the project is patta land owned by the tribals and the remaining 40% land is forest land under which rights have been given to people under the Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006. Around 600 households will lose their livelihoods due to land loss for this project. Once the project is completed, another 600 households will face complete submergence in Daasapuram, Hirapuram, Chinnaguruvuru, Peddaguruvuru, Savaralingapuram, Savaracheepurupalli, Savararamapuram, Mukundapuram, Peddamadi, Peddamadi colony, Panasagaati and Beniapuru. Some of the villages losing land are in the sub-plan area. Loss of farm land has adversely affected cultivation of paddy, green gram, black gram, sesame, Red Gram, Cashew and Mango crop in a big way in all the 22 villages.

Mr. Balram Naidu, another activist with Kanthi Social Service Society which has been working in Vangara mandal with the Maddavalasa project displaced communities shares his experiences. “The Maddavalasa reservoir built on Egavathi and Suvarnamukhi rivers led to loss of 10,000 acres of farm land in 13 villages of Vangara mandal. Around 5600 households lost their land and livelihoods due to this project. Around 8000 acres of the above land was already being irrigated through the Thotapalli left canal project. These were multiple cropped lands where paddy, sugar cane, black gram, green gram, all varieties of vegetables etc were cultivated in abundance. But due to heavy lobbying by some of the influential political leaders from the district, the already irrigated lands were acquired for the Maddavalasa project for irrigating a fresh ayacut area of 25,000 acres. While land loss was highest in Vangara mandal, there was no new ayacut under this project for this mandal. Mr. Gorla SriRamulu Naidu, a former Congress Minister and legislator lobbied to get this project sanctioned in a bid to bring water to Ranasthalam mandal. Mr. Kala Venkat Rao, an ex-MLA of the Telugu Desam Party (TDP) for 25 years also lobbied to get a re-survey of all the canal networks to get water to Regidi. There was a proposal for extending the canal for 11,000 acres at a cost of Rs. 57 crores to Ranasthalam. The process of paying compensation to those who lost lands began in 1981-82 and went on for over 20 years up to 2003-04. An amount of Rs.2000 per was paid as compensation per acre of dry land and Rs.5,000 per acre of wet land. After prolonged protests by farmers who did not receive compensation, a amount of Rs.65,000 was paid finally in 2004. One of the first villages to be affected was Magguru where a number of tribal families had patta lands. After losing these lands to the project, the farmers were resettled on Poramboke lands in Vangara mandal. Around 3000 households migrated out after losing their land and livelihoods. Mr. Jawahar Reddy, the Collector of Srikakulam at that time had promised jobs, cattle and houses for all those who were to be displaced but none of these promises were delivered.”
4. Impact of Land Diversion on Agriculture

Discussions with officials in the agriculture department shows indicate the impact of land use shifts on agriculture and crop production in the district. Mr. S.B.S. Nand – Assistant Director, Agriculture shares that traditionally, millet and cereal crops were widely cultivated in Srikakulam district under rainfed conditions. But over time, the area under these crops like Ragi, Bajra and other crops has come down. Increased irrigation infrastructure has also led to reduction in the area under rainfed crops and paddy cultivation has been taken up more widely. The Gotta barrage on Vamsadhara river and Maddavalasa taken up on Nagavalli river has brought more area under rice cultivation.

"Jute (locally known as Mesta or Gogu) is another major crop cultivated in the district. Jute production was very good until the year 2000 but in the last ten years, water and labor shortage have led to a fall in production area. In the last 3 years, production has come down from 13,000 hectares to 3000 hectares. The district even has a special Agriculture Research Station (ARS) for promoting Jute cultivation. Some of the Jute mills in Nellimalla and the J.K. Paper mills also closed down affecting jute marketing in the area”, he shares.

Cashew is again not an indigenous crop but was introduced through interventions by the Integrated Tribal Development Agency (ITDA), supported by international agencies like IFAD to discourage “podu” (slash and burn or hill slope) cultivation in the tribal areas. The message that was spread to farmers was “Podu Paadu”, (Podu is bad) to highlight destruction of forests due to this form of cultivation. Over a period of time, cashew plantation has also come down and efforts are on to rejuvenate production. Like cashew, Jatropha and Gum Khariya are also alien and were introduced to the area.

Over the last few years, diversion of land to real estate and public purposes like irrigation projects, construction of professional colleges and institutions has negatively impacted agriculture in the district. Around 9 kilometers around Srikakulam town, fertile agricultural lands have been diverted to real estate. In the last 3-4 years, around nine or ten engineering and medical colleges have come up around the town. In recent times, some of the educational institutions like dental and B.Ed colleges have also shifted their branches from Vishakapatnam where land value is higher to Srikakulam. Irrigation and Infrastructure projects like highways, power projects etc are the major causes for large scale displacement from agriculture in the district, says Mr. N. Venkataramana, Joint Director of Agriculture in the district. The four-lane road extension from Ranasthlam to Ichapuram and a further two-lane road for the coastal corridor project over an area of 190 kilometers means diversion of a large extent of agricultural land.

Mr. Subramanyam, Assistant General Manager (AGM), NABARD sharing his thoughts on diversion of farm land says, “In Raajam, Palasa and Srikakulam regions, there is land diversion to industries and real estate to some extent. There is no major farm land diversion to industries in this district. We only have two industrial estates in this district. Some of the small real estate ventures also do not add up to much land loss. It is perhaps
only 4000 acres. There is going to be some land loss to infrastructure and thermal power projects like the East Coast Thermal power plant in Santabommali mandal and another plant proposed in Sompeta. But land lost to some of these projects will also be off set through new or additional land being brought under cultivation due to expansion of irrigation infrastructure. There is phase two and three of Vamsadhara along with schemes under the RIDF, CLDP, etc where more areas will be irrigated”.

4.1 Farmers Perspectives on Land Use Shifts in the district

Field visits to Dhallipeta, Lolugu, Taanam, Aadapaaka, Lakshmpeta villages in Baanam and Ponduru mandals revealed changes in cropping pattern in recent years from food to plantation crops like palm oil through commercial farming contracts with companies. In Dhallipeta village, around 20 out of 300 households have planted palm oil last year. The company promoting the plantation had provided the farmers all inputs such as saplings, manure, pesticides, drip irrigation etc and had a buy back arrangement after 3 years. Farmers were intercropping palm oil with cultivation of vegetables and fruits like watermelon which gave them immediate income. If the palm oil experiment succeeds, more farmers in the village are likely to follow suit in future.

Lakshmipeta is a village in Ponduru mandal consisting of 174 households, mostly belonging to BC community. There are 108 farming households owning a total extent of 125 acres of land. The village has around 20 tanks, which are the major source of irrigation. Farmers also supplement their incomes through wage labor under the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS). Supported under the Government’s Indira Kanthi Patham (IKP) programme, the farmers here have take up Non-Pesticide Management (NPM) practices in a big way and the village has 9 such NPM centers. The farmers have been cultivating a variety of crops such as ground nuts, sesame, bajra, ragi, green gram, jute and paddy as well as vegetables. When there is good rainfall, the tanks fill up and provide irrigation but erratic rains have led to major fluctuations in crop outputs in recent years. Recently, some of the farmers have taken to plantation of Casurina, palm oil and Eucalyptus (locally Neelagiri), by entering into contracts with some large farmers from other districts like West Godavari and Krishna. The latter provide all the inputs for farming and assure the farmers of buying the produce at the end of the contract period.

Mokara Appalanaidu, a local activist and staff of ARTIC, an NGO working in the area for several years says, “we can see new forms of land consolidation in these villages now. Big investors from other districts and areas are buying or leasing several small holdings and converting them into large farm plots for plantation activities. We can see this pattern in several villages between Baanam and Ponduru mandals. Plantation of Neelagiri, Casurina and Palm oil are taken up here. The investors hire a paid person to supervise and take care of these farms. This new form of farming began around 3-4 years ago. There is also a lot of increase in real estate, in anticipation that Vishakapatnam will be made the next capital. Between Chilakapalem and Ponduru, farm lands are being purchased by real estate companies and sold as plots. Between Ponduru and Tandyam
region, several employees of government and private enterprises have brought plots for housing.”

Discussions were held on changing land use patterns with a group of tribal youth volunteers with ARTIC, an NGO working on agriculture and natural resource management for the past 2-3 decades in the district. Almost all the volunteers here belong to the Savara and Jataapu tribes in the district. Discussions revealed that there has been a major shift in cropping pattern from cultivation of millets and cereals like Bajra, Ragi, Red Gram etc to cash crops on podu lands over the last 25 years. These changes in farming have been induced largely due to external factors such as government policies and the influence of outsiders coming into the tribal areas. All the revenue hillocks were earlier podu lands. These were converted into cashew plantations in 1986 with the district administration encouraging the initiative in a big way. “Around 50 hillocks were converted into plantations and all these revenue hillocks were given tree patta’s. ARTIC also earlier promoted multi-species plantations on 800 acres of land covering 12 hillocks. Along with cashew, custard Apple, Acacia, Subabul etc were also encouraged. People had rights to harvest the produce here and ITDA also supported this initiative. Later, when the Joint Forest Management (JFM) programme was introduced, efforts were made to continue the above initiatives. Along with Cashew, Pineapple, which is very suitable to this area and Turmeric were also introduced in 1989 through the IFAD programme. The cashew plantations need to be replaced because they are more than 25 years old”, shares Mr. Prakash, the founder and Secretary of ARTIC.

Discussions with the tribal youth showed that podu cultivation has been adversely affected due to granite quarrying and bauxite mining activities which began around 1989-90’s period in several hillocks in Tekkali and Meliaputty mandals. Mining and quarrying continue to be the major causes for forest destruction, with several local level political leaders and panchayat representatives also being co-opted by the investors into giving out leases at cheap rates. During the last 5-8 years, new forms of land leasing have begun in the district with farmers from south coastal Andhra districts like Guntur and Ongole leasing in land to encourage cotton cultivation in several villages in Bamini, Kotturu, Hiremandalam, Ponduru, and Seethampeta mandals. The conditions for cotton cultivation such as black soil were seen as ideal for making profits in the above regions by the farmers from outside, who came here with their tractors and sprayers to encourage cotton. Cotton cultivation was widespread and lasted for a period of five years from 2003-08. Later, input costs went up and there was a fall in production due to pest infestation. Cotton also replaced Jute cultivation to a large extent. This period also saw the closure of around 5 jute mills in Raajam, Amadalavalasa, Sigadam and Ponduru mandals.

Most of the tribal households depend on small patches of podu to supplement their incomes from small holdings. The youth also shared that with increased access to education; most of the children from tribal households did not wish to continue farming as compared to youth from SC or other backward communities where youth pursued farming along with their education.
IV. Medak District

Medak district is a part of the Telangana plateau and forms part of the table land of the Deccan, crossed by different ranges of hills, isolated peaks and rocky clusters all over the district. The district derives its name from Methukudurgam, the then headquarters town of the Taluka of the same name. Medak was originally known as Methukudurgam, which was subsequently changed to Methuku due to the cultivation of fine and coarse varieties of rice in the area. The district is spread over an area of 9,669 sq. kms and has a population of 26.70 lakhs according to the 2001 census. The district is divided into 3 revenue divisions with 46 mandals. The district does not have any major irrigation systems and agriculture is primarily rain fed, dependent on small and medium tanks and medium irrigation projects like Ghanpur Anicut and Nallavaagu project. The major crops cultivated in the district include Paddy, Maize, Jowar, Green Gram, Red Gram, Groundnut, Sugarcane, vegetables like chillies, onion etc. Cotton is also cultivated in some parts of the district.

1. Land Utilization Patterns and Crop Area in Medak District 1990-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LNA</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Jowar</th>
<th>Red Gram</th>
<th>Maize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>60660</td>
<td>183552</td>
<td>130653</td>
<td>124529</td>
<td>13851</td>
<td>65487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>63767</td>
<td>165697</td>
<td>91577</td>
<td>111240</td>
<td>11559</td>
<td>68790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>71764</td>
<td>176349</td>
<td>122839</td>
<td>101353</td>
<td>17977</td>
<td>80130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>73393</td>
<td>172583</td>
<td>86303</td>
<td>72042</td>
<td>24566</td>
<td>112373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>55947</td>
<td>192142</td>
<td>101978</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>23958</td>
<td>104258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Medak district, land put to non-agricultural purposes shows a marginal decline from 60,000 hectares to 55,947 hectares during the 18-year period. Land under current fallows was the highest during the year 1990-91 (1.83 lakhs), came down marginally and then increased during the subsequent period to stand at 1.92 lakh hectares (19.8% of total area) during 2007-08. Rice cultivation registered a fall between the years 1990 to 1995 and then peaked during the year 1999-00 and then again fell during the period 2007-08.
Cultivation of Jowar registered a steep fall from 1990-91 to 2007-08 (-60%). Red Gram cultivation has almost remained steady in the district, indicating a marginal increase during the period 2007-08. Maize cultivation has picked up steadily over the last 18 year period from 65,487 hectares to 1.04 lakh hectares.

2. Major Causes for Land Diversion: Industries and Real Estate

Discussions with various sections of people in the district reveal the processes of land diversion, the changing nature of agriculture and the impacts of these on local communities.

Mr. Rangola Satyanarayana, Ex-MLC and General Secretary, TRS, Medak District shares his experiences. “In the last 25 years, many industries and factories have been set up in Medak district with the objective of developing this backward district. Mrs. Indira Gandhi, the late Prime Minister contested and was elected as a Member of Parliament from the Medak parliamentary constituency. She announced many subsidies to encourage establishment of industries here. One of the earliest public sector units to be set up here was the Ordinance Factory in Edumylaram village. Around 3000 acres of land was allotted to the factory and 10,000 jobs were promised. The local people did not get any jobs and only a part of the land allotted was used by the factory. When Mr. Venkataraman became the Defense Minister, a part of the factory was shifted from here to Tamil Nadu. Most of the industries that came up later have all been mostly by private investors and entrepreneurs in the chemical and pharmaceutical sectors. Most of these are gain sick units today. Farm lands taken up for starting industries by government corporations like the APIIC are lying unused. In Patancheru and Bollaram areas where polluting industries were established have led to destruction of ground water sources and farming in a big way. Ground water is polluted upto 400 to 500 feet in these areas. Even perennial streams like Nakkavaagu are almost dead today. In terms of water availability and farming, the district could earlier be characterized in two different parts. The eastern part of the district consisting of Medak, Dubbaka, Siddipet, Gajwel, Narsapur, etc used to be well irrigated through a network of old tanks. The western part consisting of Andole, Sangareddy, Zaheerabad, Narayankhed etc have poor irrigation. There was a popular saying amongst people here that reflected this reality – Toorpuku Pillanu Iyyadu, Padamataku Eddunu Iyyadu (Do not marry or send your daughters to the East hinting more work for the women here and do not give your bullocks to the West, indicating lack of fodder and water). The western part of the district produced a great variety of cereal and pulse crops like Jowar, Green Gram, Red Gram, Bengal Gram, Flax Seeds, Kusuma, etc. The district had around 12,000 tanks earlier. Today, there are only around 500 tanks. If one includes the small ponds etc, we may have
around 5000 left. The entire crisis with agriculture that we see today began with the neglect and destruction of tanks.

I grew up in Variguntam village, Kolcharam mandal of this district. During my childhood days, there were a lot of tanks and small streams called Paanaadi passing through the villages and our houses. There were lots of fishes and prawns in these waters. Previously, tanks were built for each and every purpose. There were a chain of tanks, locally known as Golosu Cheruvulu which were all inter connected. There was ayacut or farming land only under the first tank, the second tank was for percolation and for drinking purposes. There was a separate tank for funeral purposes called Chaavu Devu cheruvu, a separate one for washing purposes called chaakali devu, there were peetiri kunta’s used exclusively for everyday needs. There was a local system of maintaining these tanks. Everything got decimated over time and feeder channels have got destroyed due to siltation and lack of repair. There was no migration earlier because there was sufficient work and food within the village.

Over the past decade or so after the crisis in agriculture began, households who had even 10 acres of land in those days have shifted to Hyderabad and have been working as adda coolie (contracted daily wage laborers). The destruction of agriculture also led to the decimation of all the other inter-dependent livelihoods like livestock rearing, potters, weavers, basket makers, artisans etc who all had abundant work earlier. The tanks were the corner stone and backbone of the village economy. The scenario started changing with farming practices changing in the early 1990’s. Bore wells began to be dug and almost 300-400 bore wells were dug in each village. Except Zaheerabad, there were no dug wells in the villages. Some of the youth from villages here went all the way to Tamil Nadu to get rigs for the bore wells. The abolition of the karnam/patwari system by the Telugu Desam Government was good for various reasons but at another level, it also led to the collapse of the tank management systems and administrative system for the same. Real estate, farm houses and industries have taken over a large extent of farm land in this district. Most of the investors in these industries are private entrepreneurs from the coastal and Rayalaseema districts and Tamil Nadu like Hetero, Aurobindo, Reddy labs etc. As a journalist with Eenadu and Vaartha, I had carried out a survey in the past on employment pattern in these industries with a group. Our survey revealed that a majority of those employed in these industries and factories belonged to the same caste or regional background of the investors setting up the units. Local people were employed in very small numbers. In the last 5-6 years, especially during the tenure of Dr. Y.S. Rajasekhar Reddy, the resumption of D-Patta lands for various public purpose projects has been highest in this district. On the one hand, the government claims to have distributed almost 40,000 acres of land to the land less poor in this district. But the same lands are being resumed back for industries and other purposes. In Kothalapur village, around 100 acres of land belonging to dalits and other backward communities were resumed for a beer factory around four years ago. It was the only source of livelihood for these households. The land was taken back through local leaders who acted as
mediators. In Kondapur alone, there are 5-6 beer factories. Within 8 kilometers radius of Sangareddy town, there are around 7 beer factories. A lot of farm land in some places has been converted into leisure and entertainment resorts. Pragathi resorts have brought around 2500 acres and Lahari resorts have about 3000 acres. There are several farm houses between 100 to 1500 acres in many of the mandals adjacent to Hyderabad. Mr. Rosiah’s son and others purchased around 3000 acres of land in some villages of Kondapur mandal around 3 years ago for constructing a Vaishno Devi Temple and other purposes. The Gum Charity City belonging to Mr. K.A. Paul purchased 500 acres. This also allegedly includes some assignment lands. Vijay Electricals Company owned by Mr. Dasari Jai Ramesh owns around 10,000 acres of land for bio-mass based power generation purposes in Manur, Rayakode, Nyalkal, Narayankhed and Zaheerabad mandals. Many real estate promoters from Mumbai, Pune and Gujarat etc have brought 1500 each in Zaheerabad constituency for future ventures”.

Mr. Shivaramakrishna, Staff Reporter, Eenadu, shares his observations on the changing nature of land diversion and agriculture in the district. “The two major purposes for which agricultural land is being diverted in this district are real estate and industries. Real estate is high in 11 mandals of this district which fall in the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation limits (GHMC) like Ramachandrapuram, Patancheru, Jinnaram, Mulug, Wargal, Sangareddy, Toopran, Narsapur, Kondapur etc. About 40% of the farm land in each of these mandals has been converted to real estate. According to the estimates by the Vigilance Department which carried out a random study in 5-6 mandals, over Rs. 75 crores has been foregone as revenue, with many of the real estate developers failing to pay conversion fee under Agricultural Land (Conversion to Non-Agricultural Purposes ) Act 2006 or NALA Act. Most of the land being converted is patta lands and some pockets of these lands are assignment lands. There is no record since most of the agreements are informal and done under general purpose agreements transaction. The real estate boom was at the peak during 2004-08 and then there has been stagnation with currently both buyers and sellers in a fix. Most of the buyers are from outside, especially Hyderabad and are absentee land holders. In Gajwel mandal, large extents of assignment lands have been converted into farm houses on the highway. These were purchased almost 10 years ago and are today worth Rs.30-40 lakhs per acre.

There is also land diversion for public purpose projects like housing and industrial parks which never take off for a long time. For example, in Lagadaram village of Patancheru, 400 acres of land was assigned to dalit households for farming. In 2007, these lands were taken back for the Rajiv Swagruha Housing project which has not yet taken off. The farmers have not been allowed to farm here in the mean time. Land has been diverted to several industrial estates, parks and SEZs but many have barely taken off in the district. There are 16 industrial estates, 1 industrial park, 1 bio-technology park and 1 automobile park that has been proposed in Toopran mandal. Around 6-7 SEZs, mostly in the Pharmaceutical and Biotech sectors have been proposed in the district but many are yet to take off. Most of the land for industrial projects has been diverted in the last 15-18 years. Further, more than the required land is being taken up for industries For example, in Kothalapur village, 60 acres has been allotted to a Beer factory whereas the actual plant requires only less than 5 acres. According to the Revenue Departments’ estimates,
around 2 lakh acres of farm land in the district has been left idle or fallow. Land area under some of the principal crops is also decreasing. For example, sugar cane was earlier cultivated in almost 60,000 hectares of land but this has drastically come down. The primary reason for this is lack of irrigation facilities. There is Singur project but even that is largely for meeting drinking water purposes. Tanks were a major source of irrigation earlier but most of the tanks are today in a State of neglect or the tank beds have been encroached. This is the major reason compelling farmers to sell away their land. For medium farmers, labor availability is a major issue so land is either left fallow or sold away for better prices. As part of various phases of land distribution by the Congress government during 2004-09, around 32,814 acres of government land has been distributed to the land less poor in this district. In a large number of cases, even the position of the land has not been marked out or proper patta’s have not been issued so far. They are planning to distribute another 3600 acres during the 5th phase of land distribution again. Without investment and other kinds of support to the poor, merely land distribution is alone not going to work”.

3. Impact of Land Use Shifts and Agriculture

Mr. Chandrasekhar, Deputy Statistical Officer (DPO), Sangareddy Mandal shares, “Jowar, Paddy, Maize and Sugar Cane are some of the major crops in Medak district. Earlier sugar cane was grown in a large area but input costs and labor shortage during harvesting have led to a decrease in area under cultivation. Further, after the Nizam sugar factory at Zaheerabad got privatized, the intake capacity of the factory has been cut down and this has also lead to marketing problems for the farmers thereby affecting production. In recent years, there has been a major shift to horticulture crops due to labor and monsoon problems. Crop diversion is also being strongly encouraged by government through providing subsidies like saplings, seeds, drip and micro-irrigation facilities to small and marginal farmers. Mango, Guava, Pomegranate, Amla etc are being encouraged in several mandals like Zaheerabad, Dubbaka, Sangareddy, Siddipet, Chinna Koduru etc. The district has no major irrigation projects except a small area under Singur project. Nalla Vaagu tank has some ayacut in Narayankhed mandal. Tanks were a major source of irrigation but the ayacut under tanks has come down drastically over time. The area under paddy has also come down and the area under Maize has increased mainly due to water problems. There have been several experiments to promote new interventions in agriculture and livestock but these have not taken off. For example, in shamshallapur village of Nyalkal mandal, a sheep farm was promoted in 50 acres of land and then abandoned. In Ganguwal, a micro-seed development agency was started but did not take off. Another project for promoting bio-diesel through castor cultivation was started in 300 acres in Zaheerabad mandal but it was a failure.

It is not possible to come up with any accurate figures about the extent of agricultural land diversion in the district but one can say that it has increased in recent years. Land is being diverted mostly for real estate in Kondapur, Kohir, Munipally mandals etc. Many industries are getting concentrated in some places like Isnapur village in Patancheru mandal, Badera village in Munipally mandal, Jinnaram etc which is leading to pollution of farm lands, tanks and other drinking water sources. Farm lands purchased for real
estate or non-farm purposes are still being shown as farm lands since conversion fee has to be paid by the buyers”.

Discussions with Mr. Baliah, the Chief Planning Officer, Sangareddy, also reinforce some of the above observations. “Diversion of land to real estate and industries is higher in those mandals which are closer to Hyderabad like Jinnaram, Hathnura, Ramachadrapuram, Sangareddy, Sadhashivpet, Toopran, Mulug etc. Some of the hillocks are also being taken up for developing resorts and apartments like Lahari resorts in Ramachandrapuram. Land conversion is also happening through cropping changes like shift to horticulture crops like Mango, Guava etc in the district”.

3.1 Farmers Experiences and Perspectives on Land Use Shifts

Discussions with farmers in Kalakkal Panchayat Village, Toopran mandal, Medak District points to the changing nature of land use and its impact on local communities in the district.

The border of Medak district begins with Kalakkal Panchayat village. The village approximately consists of around 4000 households. Located just on the outskirts of Hyderabad city and on the National Highway-7, this village has perhaps seen the diversion of farm land on a much faster pace than other parts of Medak district. Discussions with a group of farmers in Kalakkal village revealed that the process began some time during the late 1980’s when several industries began to come up in and around the village. Beginning in 1987, around 30 companies, all mostly in the private sector like Satti Steels, R.R. Polymers, Karam Textiles, Suraj Textiles, Mahyco Seeds, Nuzveed Seeds etc have come up close to the village. Around 20 of these companies have closed down over time.

Even while the companies were functioning, almost 75% of those who were employed here were from Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh etc and very few local people got any employment. Some of the employees used to reside in the village earlier. Most of the above companies were owned by people from the Andhra regions and other parts of the country. Many of the owners also brought agricultural land and built large houses and buildings in the village. The expansion of NH-7 from a single lane road to a four-lane road around 2 years ago also led to loss of farm lands on both sides of the road, including this village. “Earlier, a variety of vegetables and crops were cultivated in the village and sold in the local markets in Hyderabad. Livestock was also profitable and sale of milk was a source of income to many farmers who owned milch animals. Milk was sold in various residential colonies in Hyderabad and Secunderabad Cities”, says Srisailam, a farmer in Kalakkal village. “This village had just one grocery store earlier. But now it has 2-3 shops in each veedhi (Street). All the grocery shop owners are from the Andhra region and from Maharashtra. All the jewelers, gold shops and sweet shop owners are Marwadi’s here. Most of them are also money lenders”, says Godala Sattiah, another farmer here.
In 2006, the government served notices for resumption of around 819 acres of assignment and government lands in Kalakkal and in three other neighboring villages, namely Muppireddypally, Jeedikal and Kucharam who collectively farmed on these lands. Out of the above, around 350 acres of land belongs to 208 farmers in Kalakkal village. This was the only patch of agricultural land remaining in the village and the only source of livelihood to the above farmers. The proposal by the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation (APIIC) was to develop this land into an industrial and automobile park. Jobs were promised to all the households in the 4 villages who were losing their lands to the park.

The farmers refused to accept the notices but the local officials forcibly resumed the entire land and the District Collector issued compensation cheques to some of the farmers. Several farmers are still waiting for their compensation amounts. The government officials claim that these farmers have no legal patta’s to the lands. Four years after the land was taken over, neither the proposed industrial park not the promised jobs to local people, have materialized. Meanwhile farmers have organized themselves into a local Committee to highlight their issue and demand that their lands be given back to them. “We grow Jowar, paddy, red gram, green gram, chillies, tomatoes, ladies finger, ash gourd, bottle gourd, water melon, guava, onions etc here. Several agricultural laborers from the surrounding villages like Dantalapally, Allapuram, Ghanpur, Parikibanda, Mylaram, Kolthur, Kothalapally etc also come here for work. During peak season, they earned anywhere from Rs.170-190 per day. We used to market our produce in Balanagar, Secunderabad and Dabeerpura markets. With the land being earmarked for the industrial park and then left idle, all our livelihoods are affected. There is not sufficient work under Upaadi Haami (NREGS) for us”, says Godala Sattiah.

Even as the farmers continue to fight for reclaiming their lands back, some units in this industrial park have recently been given formal approval for a Special Economic Zones (SEZ) status by the Commerce Ministry, based on the State Government’s recommendation. Significantly, all the 6 SEZs approved and allotted land in Medak district fall in the pahrma and Bio-tech sectors. The potential impact of pollution from these zones on farm lands in and around will be far reaching.

V. Ranga Reddy District

Ranga Reddy district is located in the central part of the Deccan plateau and is primarily a rural hinterland for Hyderabad City, feeding the powerful commercial center with various raw materials, agricultural produce and finished products. The district covers an area of 7564.88 sq. kms and has a population of 35.75 lakh persons. The district has 3 revenue divisions – East Division or Ranga Reddy, Chevella and Vikarabad with 37 mandals. The major crops cultivated in the district include Paddy, Jowar, Maize, Cotton, Castor, Pulses and vegetables. A major part of the district is covered by the Musi river basin. However, use of water from the Musi River for irrigation purposes has been banned because of the rights created for drinking water supply to Hyderabad city in the form of Osman Sagar and Himayat Sagar and for irrigation rights to Musi river projects in Nalgonda district. The Kagna basin, a tributary of Bhima River, is the second largest basin in the district
and all new irrigation projects in the district are being proposed in this basin. The Manjira basin, a tributary of Godavari River, is the third largest basin in the district but the area under irrigation here is very limited.

1. **Land Utilization Patterns and Crop Area in Ranga Reddy District 1990-2008**

Analysis of data related to Ranga Reddy district reveals that there has been a significant increase in LNA from 77,942 hectares in 1990-01 to 1.02 lakh hectares in 2007-08 or 13.5% of the total geographical area while land under CF has almost doubled from 1.16 lakh hectares to 2.18 lakh hectares accounting for 29.10% of total area during this period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LNA</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Jowar</th>
<th>Red Gram</th>
<th>Maize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>77942</td>
<td>116974</td>
<td>54,928</td>
<td>103109</td>
<td>28485</td>
<td>22746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>86414</td>
<td>119651</td>
<td>34736</td>
<td>92746</td>
<td>23650</td>
<td>25841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>87616</td>
<td>116299</td>
<td>53249</td>
<td>77039</td>
<td>37400</td>
<td>29292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>87676</td>
<td>188454</td>
<td>29992</td>
<td>44812</td>
<td>35790</td>
<td>30459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>218000</td>
<td>31054</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>33213</td>
<td>25057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In other words, the combined percentage of land put to non-agricultural purposes and Current Fallows in the district account for 43% of the total geographical area (which is 7.49 lakh hectares) as on 2007-08. Land under Paddy and Jowar cultivation has witnessed a steep fall during this period registering a decline of close to 50% in paddy and over 70% in Jowar area. Area under cultivation of Redgram and Maize has remained more or less steady during the period.

2. **Major Causes for Diversion of Agricultural Land**

Ranga Reddy district has perhaps witnessed the diversion of farm land at a more rapid pace than any other district in the past 10 years. Given the location of the district close to Hyderabad City, development of infrastructure projects like International Airport, outer ring road, development of IT parks, SEZs and real estate are the major factors accounting for diversion of farm land. Land diversion has been highest in East Division and in
Chevella divisions, which are closer to Hyderabad City as compared to the Vikarabad division.

While there are no accurate estimates available, discussions with various officials indicate that over 2 lakh acres of farm land may have gone out in the last 8 years. Diversion of farm land has meant a steep decrease in cultivation of vegetables, Paddy, Jowar etc in the district. Large extents of land diverted to real estate ventures, which are yet to take off have not been converted under the Agricultural Lands Conversion to non-agricultural purposes Act 2006. While poor rains and lack of irrigation facilities are important factors, development of real estate accounts for the high percentage of fallow lands in the district, which has doubled between during the years 1990-2008. Discussions with revenue officials indicate that the land categorization continues to remain the same since conversions to other purposes have not been recorded officially.

2.1 Diversion of Farm land to Real Estate

There is no accurate estimate of the extent of farmland that has been converted into real estate ventures in the district. The diversion to real estate has been higher in some mandals of Ranga Reddy East and in Chevella Divisions, which are closer to Hyderabad City. These include Hayathnagar, Sarroornagar, Uppal, Ghatkesar, Maheswaram, Kandukur, Medchal, Shamirpet, Keesara and Qutbullapur mandals in East Division. In the Chevella division, diversion to real estate development is high in Serilingampally, Balanagar, Malkajigiri, Shamshabad, Rajendranagar, Shankarpally and Moinabad mandals. The period 2002-08 saw a real estate boom in these areas of the district with several ventures and farm houses being promoted by developers. According to Mr. Balaraju, Deputy Tahsildar, (Legal Protection), Ranga Reddy District, the extent of land diversion to real estate, even by a conservative estimate could be roughly 50,000 acres in 15 of the above mandals in East and Chevella revenue divisions of the district.

In early 2007 the Vigilance and Enforcement (V&E) department conducted a survey in 14 villages of Maheswaram mandal where agricultural land in an extent of 2,270 acres had been converted into 341 layouts for housing. The villages were Nagaram, Nagireddypally, Gollur, Manikyammaguda, Mansanpally, Pendiylala, Meerpet, Dubbacherla, Ghatupally, Tummulur, Maheshwaram, Chinna Toopran, Subhanpur and Akanpally. The highest number of layouts was in Nagaram village where 98 layouts had come up and developers had evaded conversion fee to the tune of Rs.15 crores. Similarly, 53 layouts had come up in Mansanpally where developers had to pay Rs.17 crores.

The officials found that by illegally converting farm land for non-agricultural purposes, especially housing plots, the developers had evaded land conversion fee to the tune of Rs.72 crores. The developers had not informed the revenue authorities about the conversion. As per the Agricultural land (conversion to non-agricultural purposes) Act 2006, any developer converting agricultural land to other purposes is required to pay 10% of the basic value of the land as conversion fee. If the land has been converted illegally prior to 2006, the developers are required to pay 5 percent penal amount along with
conversion fee. The fee has to be paid to the revenue divisional officer of the concerned region. The V&E officials had directed the concerned revenue officials to collect the conversion fee from the developers along with penalty or recover the land according to the Revenue Recovery Act. The same officials also advised the Director of Town and Country Planning (DTCP) and Hyderabad Urban Development Authority (HUDA) not to issue permissions for layouts without the production of the mandatory land conversion certificate by the developers. The V&E officials are not aware if their directions had been followed up by the concerned revenue and other officials. According to the officials, with skyrocketing land prices during the period of real estate boom (2005-08), large extents of farm land had been converted into layouts in Ranga Reddy district. The number of illegal layouts could be higher in other mandals of the district. The random survey by the Vigilance Department officials in Maheshwaram mandal revealed the above findings.

In January 2010, a field visit was undertaken to Nagaram village in Maheshwaram mandal. Nagaram is a gram panchayat with a population of around 3,3780 persons. A majority of the households belong to Scheduled Tribes (ST) and Scheduled Caste (SC) communities. The village comprises 4-5 smaller hamlets (locally known as Thanda) namely Nandipalli Thanda, Pedda Thanda, Enamidi Thanda, Eenagu Cheruvu Thanda and Teegalakunta Thanda. A discussion with farmers shows that a large extent of agricultural land in the village has been sold out to real estate developers. The highest extent of land loss has been in Nandipalli Thanda and in Eenagu Cheruvu Thanda where the entire land measuring 20 acres has been sold out by farmers. Some of the farmers have brought land in Mahbubnagar district but are reluctant to leave the village. Meanwhile the real estate ventures have not taken off and the once farm lands are today lying fenced off.

The process of land diversion began around 5 years ago when farmers were offered Rs.40 lakhs per acre by real estate developers who came looking for land in these villages. Due to minimal irrigation facilities, farmers who were growing Jowar, pulses and vegetables on these lands were induced into selling away their lands, since the money being offered appeared to be attractive. Farmers earlier marketed their produce like Tomatoes, Jowar etc in the markets in Shadnagar, Gudimalakapur, Miryalamandi etc. Nagaram was a major supplier of vegetable and Jowar. Today, there is a market in the village but production of crops and vegetables has come down according to farmers here.

According to revenue officials, the total outstanding revenue from land put to non-agricultural purposes from Ranga Reddy district amounts to over Rs.2000 crores. Of this, collection of conversion fee pending from East Division alone, where maximum conversions have occurred amounted to Rs. 1140 crores. Some of the major amounts were overdue from the APIIC, Andhra Pradesh Housing Board (APHB), DILL and other private real estate promoters in the district. In just one village, Dummaiguda of Keesara mandal Rs.27.13 crores was due and notices for collection of conversion feed had been served to 75 persons including farmers and private realtors. In many of the villages like Dummaiguda and Nagaram, farmers are unhappy at being served notices since the land
had been sold to private parties some years ago by their older members of their family like father or grand father. The revenue officials were now serving notices to farmers to collect the conversion fee due on these transactions.

2.2 Diversion of Farmland to Industries, Housing and Infrastructure Projects

The development of a large number of SEZs and infrastructure projects in Ranga Reddy district in the last 8 years has also caused diversion of large extents of farm land in the district. Given its proximity to Hyderabad City, Ranga Reddy district has been playing an important role in the development of industries in the State. The district has a strong industrial base with several public sector undertakings like BHEL (R &D), ECIL, IDPL, HCL, HAL, HMT bearings and NFC etc being established here. While establishment of these industries has involved some alienation of farm land in the past, the pace and scale of land diversion has been much higher in the last 10 years with the development of infrastructure projects like the international airport, expansion of the outer ring road, development of IT parks and SEZs etc. The land markets in the periphery areas where all the above projects have come up have become activated leading to speculation in real estate, purchase of land by outsiders for leisure and farm houses, resorts and floating of ventures by various developers.

According to information collated from the revenue officials in Ranga Reddy district, between 1990 -2004, the total extent of ac. 4975.74 cents of lands was allotted to APIIC for development of various industrial units, software companies like TCS, Wipro, Microsoft, Lanco Infratech etc, and to Emmar Properties for development of Golf course. Most of the land allocation has been in mandals like Serilingampally, Rajendranagar, Medchal, Balanagar, Uppal, Shamirpet, Maheshwaram, Qutubullapur, Hayathnagar, Saroornagar and Chevella.

From 2000-2004, a total extent of 845.23 acres of land was allotted to the Tourism Department in Vikarabad, Shamshabad, Rajendranagar, Serilingampally and Shamirpet mandals of the district. During 2004-06, a total extent of 2999.11 acres of land, which also includes resumption of assignment lands was allocated to HUDA for providing house sites to serving AIS officers of various batches, house sites to MLA’s, MP’s, land to media persons and relocation of graveyard, Matam, etc affected due to the development of outer ring road project. The land was allocated in Qutubullapur, Rajendranagar, Serilingampally, Hayathnagar, Shamshabad, Maheshwaram, Ghatkesar, Moinabad, Shankerpally, Keesara, Saroornagar, Kandukur, Ibrahimpatnam and Medchal mandals.

An abstract of data collated from the revenue department on diversion of patta lands to various purposes for the years 2000 – 2006 is as follows.
The above details include only patta lands diverted and not government lands and assignment lands, for which the data collation is under progress by the department officials. Officials admit that the extent of government lands and assignment lands diverted to various purposes may be several times higher than patta lands in the district. According to a rough estimate, an extent of around 2 lakh acres of land would have been diverted in the district. Compilation of data on the status of assignment lands is a challenging task, according to the revenue officials.

2.3 Diversion of Farmland to SEZs

Out of 106 SEZs in Andhra Pradesh, 49 SEZs are concentrated in Ranga Reddy district alone. The total extent of land diverted to these SEZs is roughly 15,000-18,000 acres of land. The Hyderabad International Airport being developed by the GMR group airport has been allotted close to 5000 acres of land. The entire Greenfield airport area along with an aerospace and engineering ancillary unit is divided into 2 SEZs and has been given formal approval status of an airport based multi services SEZ.

The reasons for concentration of 49 SEZs, which mostly fall in the IT/ITES sector in Maheshwararam, Serilingampalli, Shamashabad and Gopanapalli mandals of Ranga Reddy district, around the periphery of Hyderabad are obvious. The SEZ promoters here clearly want to take advantage of the proximity of these locations to Hyderabad city as well as the connectivity in terms of roadway, highway and airport. The mushrooming growth of IT SEZs in these areas has been paralleled by heavy public spending in creation of infrastructure such as expansion and laying of the four-lane outer ring road and the construction of the Hyderabad International Airport in Shamshabad, which has been developed on the lines of Public Private Partnership (PPP) model.

In the last 3-4 years, these developments have resulted in land prices really soaring and touching an all time high, leading to a real estate boom in the region. In recent times, agencies like the Hyderabad Urban Development Agency (HUDA) have earned large sums of money through auctioning off lands to interested private buyers for very high sums of money in these areas. According to the revenue officials in Maheshwaram, there is practically no land left for any further sale. The saturation is primarily due to indiscriminate
sale and purchase of land, primarily by a large influx of outsiders. The downside of this entire change scenario has also meant large scale displacement of farmers from their lands due to acquisition of lands for projects such as outer ring road expansion, airport etc and resultant inducement into sale of their farm lands.

In Maheshwaram mandal of Ranga Reddy District, a large part of the lands allocated to several IT/ITES SEZs in the Hardware park, the Hyderabad Gems SEZ and the Fab City Semi-conductor based SEZ etc are again government lands and assignment lands. Some parts of these lands were resumed by the APIIC during the 1980’s and had been sold or leased out to the companies for setting up units in the SEZs. In the case of several of these IT companies, pre-existing establishments have been converted into SEZs.

In the last 3 years, a large area of 1187 acres of assignment lands and some endowment lands have been alienated for the Fab City SEZ in four habitations of the Raviiriyala Panchayat of Maheshwaram. These include, Raviiriyala village, Srinagar, Jannaiguda and Imamguda under Raviiriyala panchayat. Farmers used to grow paddy, Jowar, Maize, other pulses and a variety of vegetables on these lands. Investments had been made in digging several bore wells also on these lands. For 827 acres of the above lands, farmers have not yet been compensated. While farmers claim that they were given these lands under the Telangana Inam Abolition Act 1953, the APIIC officials counter this claim saying that the land belongs to the endowment department. All cultivation has been completely stopped on these lands, after it was taken over for the SEZs by APIIC. While the SEZ has not taken off even four years after the land was taken over, the once farm lands continue to lie fenced off and barren during this time.

3. Impact of Land Diversion on Agriculture

According to officials in the Agriculture Department, Ranga Reddy District, agriculture has been adversely affected in Ranga Reddy East and Chevella divisions as compared to Vikarabad division. Cultivation of Paddy, Jowar, Maize, Black Gram, Ground Nuts and vegetables has come down in a big way due to diversion of farm land in various villages in the above divisions. Red Gram cultivation continues to be more or less steady since the crop is cultivated mostly in Vikarabad division in a big way.

Sharing his observation on diversion of agricultural lands in the district, Mr. Kodand Reddy, Ex-MLC, Congress, says, “Most of the land transactions are done without any record. After Shamshabad Airport was developed, a large extent of agricultural land has been converted to various purposes. The ineffective implementation of agricultural land ceiling act is responsible for this diversion. There is also no ceiling limit on the extent of conversion to plantation crops. The Mango farms and orchards owned by private individuals extend into several hundred acres. Apart from land, there is also encroachment of Pirangi Naala’s (tanks). The encroachment by GVK industries against which a case has been filed by environmentalists in the SHRC is a case in point. Mining of sand and other building materials through allocation of farm lands is another major challenge to agriculture”.
Mr. Kodanad Reddy further shares, “In my village in Yacharam mandal, around 98 acres of farm land belonging to dalits with very good ground water, anks etc was sought to be diverted for sand mining. I wrote to the Pollution Control Board authorities to prevent alienation of these lands. Agriculture has been affected due to allocation of large extents of farm land to APIIC, Housing Board and other agencies. Irrigation facilities are better in Chevella division where vegetable cultivation was very good. But diversion of land has affected the same. Enactment of Agricultural Land Ceiling Act, incentives to revive agriculture through irrigation and transportation facilities can go a long way in protecting agriculture in the district to some extent. A proposal to extend the railway line to Nagarjuna Sagar from the district is long pending. This can enable transportation of vegetables from the district”.

Findings from field research in all the five districts discussed here shows patterns of farm land diversion, the factors and causes for this diversion and the consequent impacts of the diversion on agriculture. Amongst the major causes of land diversion in all the districts, diversion to real estate, industries and infrastructure emerge as the three most important causes. Observations also reveal that diversion to real estate is especially high in most of the Urban peripheries such as Ranga Reddy and Medak around Hyderabad, Vishakapatnam and surrounding mandals along the national highway and the coast and similarly Kurnool and Kallur mandals and the surrounding areas. The corresponding increase in the area under current fallows in these districts perhaps indicates two important issues. The first is the large scale diversion of farm land to real estate ventures by various promoters without the conversions not actually being recorded by the revenue authorities. In other words, these lands continue to be shown as agricultural lands left fallow, where the real estate ventures have not taken off. A second more important issue that emerges from discussions with farmers is the lack of input support to agriculture from the government such as irrigation, seeds, marketing etc which is compelling farmers to leave large extents of land fallow or selling them away for any price that appears attractive in a distress situation. The impact of all the above issues on agriculture is evident in terms of fall in cultivation area of major crops like paddy, jowar, pulses etc in all the districts. Diversion of forest land (where podu cultivation is still prevalent) to mining and other purposes is an important issue in Srikakulam and Vishakapatnam. Land diversion to irrigation projects also appears to be a major issue in Srikakulam district along with land consolidation through leasing and contract farming in case of palm oil, timber etc which is an emerging issue in the district. In Vishakapatnam as well as other coastal districts, projects like the AP PCPIR, Coastal Industrial Corridor etc spell far reaching damage to agriculture, water, natural resources and the ecology in the long run. Apart from diversion and conversion of agricultural land to other purposes, the issue of land degradation on account of pollution of ground water and other water bodies, soil, environment etc is an issue that requires to be addressed in an urgent manner. Experiences from Medak in relation to polluting pharmaceutical industries or in Vishakapatnam where agriculture has been adversely affected due to establishment of thermal power plants or mining SEZs such as Anrac Alumina reflect the potential threat of land degradation due to impacts of pollution.
5. Strategies to Address Diversion of Farm Land

The analysis of available secondary data and primary research findings from this study point to the urgent need for formulation and implementation of strategies at various levels to address the problem of diversion of agricultural land for other purposes as well as land conversion occurring through changes in cropping patterns in the State. Both these problems along with the emerging problem of land degradation pose serious challenges to the future of agriculture and food production in the State.

Some of the key recommendations by way of strategies that this study puts forth are as follows.

1. **A Comprehensive Land Use Policy** – First and foremost is a need to formulate a comprehensive policy on land in the State, given the competing pressures on land on account of growing urbanization, industrial needs etc. There is a need for a long-term, detailed perspective plan for land use in the State for the next 20-25 years, keeping in view of the competing pressures on land. The land use policy must have a cohesive approach to different components of agriculture such as land, soil and water as well as identify region specific problems and appropriate remedial measures to address the same.

2. **Establishment of a fully functional State Land Use Board (SLUB)** - In 1986, the GOI issued the National Land Use Policy Outline to States and Union Territories in order to protect farm lands from being alienated for other purposes. While Andhra Pradesh has prepared a perspective plan as per the guidelines, these have not been implemented effectively. Instead of full implementation, the State-level Land Use Board appears to have redefined its role to that of coercing farmers to give up farm land. There is an urgent need to have a fully functional State land use board that can develop a database on land resources in the State and which will formulate and implement suitable measures to prevent the diversion of agricultural land to other purposes.

3. **Moratorium on Further Land Diversion** - Both the Central Land Committee constituted by GOI and the Koneru Ranga Rao Committee formed by GOAP note that in Andhra Pradesh, large areas of land have been diverted for irrigation projects, housing projects, infrastructure, etc. There is an urgent need to recognize the problem of farm land diversion in terms of its magnitude and extent to formulate effective measures to regulate further diversion of farmland through legislative or legal measures. This includes a moratorium on further diversion of land to projects like SEZs, PCPIR, Coastal Industrial Corridor or Port based projects. The approvals already given to these projects must be reviewed on a retrospective basis and cancelled where the projects have not taken off and where large scale farm lands are involved.

4. **Bringing fallow lands under cultivation** – The issue of large areas of land under current fallows or culurable waste in the State once again raises the basic issue of State role in provision of input and infrastructural support systems to farmers to bring these under cultivation. Every effort must be made to bring land currently uncultivated into productive use, whether in agriculture or in forestry. For this, it
will be essential to evolve a comprehensive land-use policy which will lay out the contours of ownership and institutional framework that will encourage the productive utilization of such lands. There must also be a focus on increasing work opportunities and the productivity of women farmers from poorer sections. Increasing women’s access to productive land by bestowing ownership rights on land, regularizing leasing and sharecropping of uncultivated agricultural land by women’s groups where required, encouraging collective efforts in bringing wastelands under cultivation and providing policy incentives to women in low-input sustainable agriculture etc will go a long way in improving the productivity of these lands and enhancing women’s empowerment and household food security.

5. **Strengthening the Role of Panchayats** - The 73rd and 74th amendments of the Constitution ensured a definite role for local bodies in the management of natural resources including land, water and forests. The provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 were aimed at enabling tribal societies to effectively contribute to preservation and conservation of their traditional rights over natural resources. In a context where counter veiling policies such as the SEZ Act seek to erode and override the spirit of these constitutionally recognized bodies, there is an urgent need to strengthen and reinvest the panchayats with decision making powers over critical local resources such as land, water, forests etc to ensure diversion of the same.

6. **Revamping the Role of Revenue Department** - The revenue department has become dysfunctional essentially due to administrative apathy and inaction. The lack of accurate data in relation to diversion of agricultural lands, the number and extent of annual applications etc related to conversion of land for other purposes etc indicates the poor state of revenue governance in various districts. It is important to revamp the system and restore its core functions in relation to survey, settlement of disputes and entitlements, updating of land records etc in the State in the present context. Recruitment of required personnel in the revenue services at various levels, especially at the district level is an urgent need.

7. **Fixing Ceiling Limits** – The Committee on State Agrarian Relations and the Unfinished Task of Land Reforms, set up by the Ministry of Rural Development, GOI, made some key recommendations related to ceiling lands which are imperative in the context of farm land diversion in Andhra Pradesh. These include i) Ceiling limits must be re-fixed and implemented with retrospective effect ii) The new limit should be 5-10 acres in the case of irrigated land and 10-15 acres for non-irrigated land, to be decided by the concerned State Governments. iii) Absentee landlords or non-resident landowners should have lower level of ceiling iv) Withdrawal of the general ceiling exemptions to plantations, fisheries and other special categories v) Discontinuation of exemptions to religious, educational, charitable and industrial organizations under ceiling laws.

8. **KRR Committee recommendations to be implemented** – The Koneru Ranga Rao Committee was set up by the GOAP in 2004 to comprehensively study various aspects of the land question in the State, the status of land reforms etc and make recommendations for effective implementation of land reforms. The land committee made several key recommendations in relation to various aspects,
including diversion of farm land and enabling the access of poorer sections to
torland. It is important for civil society groups and people’s organizations working
on land issues to exert pressure on the Government to implement these
recommendations at the earliest.

9. **Combating land degradation and Desertification** – It is important to recognize
land degradation in terms of soil, water and the environment due as a consequence
of polluting effects of industries and other projects in the vicinity of agricultural
lands. Specific measures are required to protect and conserve agricultural lands as
ecologically sensitive, biodiversity zones along the lines of the Coastal Regulation
Zone to prevent further degradation of lands and soil fertility in these areas.

10. **Comprehensive Land Reforms Programme** – The study also points to the need
to go back to the important issue of implementing comprehensive land reforms
programmes in favor of the poor along with a protective legislation to prevent
alienation of lands from their hands. More importantly, Land distribution as part
of reforms must be combined with follow up or post-reform measures in terms of
public investments on these lands along with other necessary input support by the
State to prevent alienation of such lands from the poor. In other words, land
development and land use must become part of the land reforms agenda.
6. Conclusion

This study is a preliminary attempt to understand the nature and patterns of farm land diversion in Andhra Pradesh along with the factors contributing or causing the diversion. The study by no means covers all the dimensions and complexities of the problem or its impacts. The initial findings from this research suggest the need for more in-depth research and analysis of the problem in future in order to draw out the implications for agriculture and food production in the State. Given the uneven nature and patterns of land diversion in various regions emerging from this study, there appears to be a need to further investigate the region-wise impacts of the problem and its impacts on local food production systems across the State.

While the green revolution allowed policy makers to depart from the earlier imperatives to carry out land reforms by shifting the focus on to issues of technology, scale, productivity etc, the initial findings from this study suggests a new wave of reforms and policy shifts that are compelling the diversion of farm lands in Andhra Pradesh. An analysis of the various policies in the last two decades indicates that the official discourse has been about moving out of farming and looking at other growth engines and sectors like industry to achieve growth rates. The justification for diversion of farm lands to other purposes, aided by amendments to existing laws or through formulation of new legal measures, is often justified on the basis of non-viability of agriculture and small scale farming in the face of competing demands from industry and other sectors on the same land. Overall the pace, direction and emerging patterns of farm land diversion in the State indicates an inverse land reform process at work through new forms of land consolidation in the hands of industries, corporate, real estate developers or capitalist farmers etc. These patterns pose a serious challenge to farm lands, farming and food security in a big way.

The study once again reinforces the need to return to basic issues - that of expanding public investments in agriculture in general and small farms in particular, strengthening input support in the form of water, seeds, credit, marketing etc in order to sustain farming and make it viable for farmers.
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